

1044

First Edition 2nd

Truth cleared of Calumnies,

C74d
4/20/1912
ma

Wherein

First Edition

A Book intituled,

*A Dialogue betwixt a Quaker, and
a Stable Christian*

(Printed at *Aberdeen*, and upon good ground judged to be writ by *William Mitchell*, a Preacher neer by it, or at least that he had the Cheife hand in it) is examined, and the disingenuity of the Author, in his representing the *Quakers* is discovered.

Here is also their case truly stated, cleared, demonstrated, and the Objections of their Opposers answered, according to truth, Scripture, and right Reason.

By ROBERT BARCLAY.

Iai 48: 1. Who hath belated our report, and so whom is the arm of the Lord revealed?

Joh 5: 40. Ye search the Scriptures, because in them, ye think ye have eternal life, and they are they, which testify of me, and ye will not believe me, that ye may have life.

Marth 5: 11. Blessed are ye, when men shall revile you, and say all manner of evil against you falsely, for my sake.

Act. 14: 13. After the way, which they call Heresy, so Worship I the God of my Fathers.

1 Thess. 5: 21. Prove all things, hold fast that which is good.

Printed in the Year 1670.

First class of Chlorine

Section A

1. The first of these is the fact that the

ing the quality of the work.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20246

Д. А. И. А. Т. Я. З. О. Р. У.

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the President of the United States to the Congress, dated January 3, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Union and the progress of the war.

Printed in the Year 1870.

The Preface

To the

R E A D E R.

R E A D E R;

FOR thy better understanding the matters handled in this treatise, I thought fit to premise somewhat by way of Preface; and indeed the nature of the thing calleth for it, that thou mayest receive a true information concerning the People here pleaded for; and so generally opposed: but more particularly in the Cit, of Aberdeen, that thou mayest understand how the case stands betwixt them, and their adversaries in it.

Know then, that after the Lord had raised up the witnesses of this day, and had opened in them and unto them the Light and Glory thereof; diverse of them at sundry times were moved of the Lord to come into these parts, & unto the towne of Aberdeen, in love to the seed, which there was to be gathered, but their acceptance for divers yeeres together, was very unsutable.

For the enemy that had wrought, and was exalted, in the mystery of iniquity, to darken the appearance of this day, had prepared and stirred up his Ministers, to resist them and their testimony by aspersing them with many grosse Calumnies, lyes and reproaches, as demented, distracted, bodily possessed

sed of the devill, practising abominations under Colour of being led to them by the Spirit, and as to their Principles, blasphemous deniers of the true Christ, of Heaven, Hell, Angels, the Resurrection of the body and day of judgment, inconsistent with Magistracy, nothing better then John of Leyden and his complices. This was the vulgar and familiar language of the pulpits which was for a time received for unquestionable truth: till about the year 1663. some sober and serious Professors, in and about the said Towne, did begin to weigh these things more narrowly, and find the savour of that life in the testimony of that so much reproached people which some yeares before had stirred in others, who were now come to a great losse and decay, and this gave them occasion to examine the Principles and wayes of that People more exactly, which proving upon inquiry, to be far otherwayes, then they had been represented, gave them a further occasion to see the integrity and soundnesse of that despised People and of their Principles, on the one hand; and on the other, to see the prejudic'd disingenuity and enmity of their accusers. In these the Lord caused his word to prosper, (who were few in number, yet noted as to their sobriety in their former way of profession) and raised them up to own that People and their testimony, and to become one with them: now their adversaries finding nothing in these whom the Lord had raised up in these parts whereof to accuse them, as to their conversation, these Calumnies must bee cast upon strangers living some hundred miles distant, where these untruths cannot be so easily disproved; but as to these at home the tune must be turned: therefor George Meldrum who hath more particularly espoused the quarrel against truth and its followers, then any of his Brethren, begins to say that it is no wonder to see Quakers forbear grosse outbreakings, for that Hereticks have formerly come as great a length (but surely abstinence from grosse outbreakings and a cleane outward conversation is no

good Argument against the Quakers,) so now the clamour is, though they have been Professors and that noted ones too, and though they be honest in their conversation, yet they are deluded and deceived, and are deceivers. And thus as of old, the truth and the witnesses of it have alwayes been reproached by those of the Pharisaical Spirit. So now; for sometimes they said Christ had a Devill, sometimes the Apostles are drunk, and other times mad. Since these things have thus occurred, there hath been no little industry used, to suppress this People, by threatnings and persecution, (on which account divers of our Friends have been cast into Prison, and some detained long in, of the said towne,) and also by preaching and writing, of which for thy information receive this account. There were 30. Queries sent by the Bishop of Aberdeen (so called) to Alexander Jaffray. Also about the same time, a paper of 3. or 4. sheets subscribed by G. M. intituled, The state of the controversy betwixt the Protestants and the Quakers: The 30 Querys were, not long after, answered by G. K. in respect of A. J. his sickness at that time, and returned to him from A. J. and sometime afterwards, George Meldrum his paper called, The state of the controversy, &c. was answered by G. K. to which papers of G. K. some what was premised by A. J. which papers being severall times called for, (but particularly in a letter, from G. M. his own hand to A. J. wherein he intreats for an answer that hee might know, as hee said, in what things wee did differ, or in what things wee onely seemed to differ) were sent to him within 9. months after the receipt of G. M. his papers. Before all which a sermon on Purpose was preached by G. M. against the quakers in the ninth month 1666. wherein the summe of both his papers was asserted, onely that it was digested in a Pulpit-way and introduced with an insinuating discourse of his pretended kindnesse for the persons of some Quakers, and his unwillingnesse

to meddle with them, were it not his office, and zeale for the truth did engage him to it, but if it had been so indeed, hee would have said no more of them then the truth, whereas it is stuffed with lyes, which are positively asserted to be the Quakers principles, by this pretended Preacher of the Gospel from his chaire of verity, (so called,) or rather of falsehood: whereas yet G. M. his Papers afore-mentioned, were not so much as sent, far lesse answered, by which according to the words of his owne letter above mentioned he was to receive an understanding of the differences, and yet before he received this understanding, whilst he was ignorant of the differences according to his owne Confession, he is not ashamed to forge numbers of lyes, upon the Lords People: and as if they had been truths, consisting in his knowledge, to vent them before a publick auditory, which sermon is largely answered by G. K. together with some animadversions upon it from A. J. Also severall papers past betwixt W. M. and G. K. which had their rise from some queries sent by P. L. to W. M. answered by W. M. to which was returned a reply by P. L. the same was replied to, by G. K. which having received a reply from V. M. was again replied to at length by G. K. it is some two yeares and upwards, since the last papers, from our friends hands, were returned to the respective Priests, unto which as yet there is no answer, nor any of them published, but instead of all at last comes out a Dialogue (of which the proverb is verified, *partitum montes, nascitur mus*) subscribed by no hand, but generally understood to be W. M. and by him not denied, which whether it bee to deale fairly or ingenuously, the ingenuous may judge. Ingenuous dealing would have stated things as related from our own friends hands, and given an account of the many Scripture prootes and arguments made use of, in our friends papers, which this author or in his Dialogue, hath done nothing lesse, but deceitfully hath represented us, (considering what had past before, to

which

which he himselfe, who ever he is, could not but be privy, and disingenuously hath dissembled and waved almost all the Scripture proofes & arguments, used by our friends, in their aforesaid Tapers, as any may see, who are willing to looke into the said Tapers, Coppyes of which are in severall hands, and any who desire to read them, may have them readily from our friends. Therefore, however this worke of his may satisfy and deceive a benighted multitude whose faith is pinned upon other mens sleeves, nevertheless, I hope, it shall be an occasion to discover these men, to any who are ingenuous, and love not to be hoodwinked, but are willing to know the Truth. So Reader, having given thee this account, I leave thee, to the perusing of the following Tapers, omitting these needlesse Apologies, and flattering insinuations, usual in Epistles, of his Kind, my end being to answer the good in all, and to starve, and not to feed the evil in any. And I referre myselfe to that innocent and pure principle, the Light of Christ Jesus in thee, which beares testimony against all evil; that thereby thou mayest try, and examine what is here writ; and who are the owners of Scripture, and who are the wresters of it, which thou canst only, truly discover and discern, by the Spirit from which the Scriptures came, even that inward Light and Word of Grace that is able to build thee up, and establish thee in the Truth, unto which I recommend thee.

Urie the 19 of the 2 Month, 1670.

R. B.

To

To the
A U T H O R

HAVING taken a serious view of the *Dialogue* lately published by thee, and having weighed and considered it, in the fear of the Lord, I found it incumbent upon me, to reply unto it, both upon the account of *Truth*, at which it strikes, and for thy *Soules sake*, as also for others, that any *simple hearts*, who have received hurt by it, may be undeceived, and thy *unfaire dealing* may be manifested, in order whereunto, before I enter upon the *examination* thereof particularly, I have some things to lay before thee.

And first, as to the manner and method of thy book by way of *Dialogue*, it is no wayes allowable from thee, being but a meer shift, to shuffle by, those other *papers* aforesaid; from being noticed, or regarded, where all these *controversies* are spoken to at large.

Secondly, the nature of this kind of *writing* ought to have engaged thee to set downe, as largely, what could be said on the one hand, as on the other, and to have brought in all the *proofs*, and arguments, alledged by the *Quakers*, or at least the most considerable, but in that thou hast done nothing so much as becomes a *man for Iesse a Christian*, as any who have conversed with that *people*, cannot but be sensible of. Thou hast made a bare representation of the *Quakers principles*, and that so scantily and mincingly, that thou frequently givest thy self occasion to fight against a *man of straw*. But that wherein thou hast manifested the balnes of thy *Cause*, and thy *weaknes* in pleading for it, is that the *substance* of what thou hast said is nothing else, but that which was writ, in the *papers* before mentioned, and so largely answered already: Whereof thou art altogether *silent* and wouldst in *simulate*, that what thou hast here writ, was never answered by any *Quaker*, yea is unanswerable.

Thirdly. In the beginning of thy *Epistle*, thou alledgest that thou hast examined divers *Opinions* (of the people called *Quakers*) and after

thyall. found them to be *naught*, where as thou hast not so much as mentioned, far lesse answered, the *Arguments* used by them, and in the manner of signifying their *principles*, thou givest not their own words, but couchest them, in such words of thy own framing as may bear the most disadvantageous construction; hence thou sayst, that they deny *original sin*, that they overturn the doctrine of the *Saints perseverance*, that they call the *ordinances* of *Christ*, the inventions of men, all which things as so conceived, are false.

4. There hath appeared in thee, an airy *spirit*, full of vanity and selfe conceit, a thing which thou seemest much to cry out against in others, and wilt not see it in thy selfe, hence in thy *Epistle*, thou boastest, that thou hast so succinctly confuted their errors, highly commending the manner of thy writing, as that, which, for ought thou knowest, was never done by any, who never handled these things with greater plaines and *condescendings* to the meanest *capacity*, and in so narrow a compasse, as thy owne words bear. The signifying that it was the judgement of some, that the publishing of thy papers might tend to *edification*; the crying up of thy *Zeale*, for the *ordinances*, and many other passages, too tedious to relate doe very much evidence, an itching desire in thee to be commended and applauded in thy enterprise.

5. In the writing and framing of thy *discourse* thou hast introduced thy selfe, most *childishly* and ridiculously; and takest frequent occasion to play upon thy own words, and snatch, at them, as if thou hadst got some great *advantage*, not unlike *dogs*, that bark at their owne shadow, or these creatures that run and are mad, when they see themselves in a *looking glasse*; supposing it to be some other, when indeed it is but their owne *image*, that this is thy way appears in many pages in thy book, as they are heerafter examined.

Now more particularly.

So soone as thou enterest upon the matter of debate Pag. 2. thou beginnest with great *disingenuity*: an evidence of what may be expected or will be found throughout the rest. For notwithstanding the words of the *Quaker*. are of thy owne framing, and that they lye patent before thee, yet thou hast not had so much honesty, in thy answer as to subsume them aright. The *Quaker* says, I use not flatter-

tering titles, and give thee not heathenish salutations and bowings, least I^o should sin and be found an Idolater: in answer to which thou beginnest with a false subsumption, saying thou wonderest that he should call *salutations* and *bowings* heathenish, and Idolatrous. Indeed it is no strange thing, that thou and others misrepresent us, and belye us in repeating our *words* at a distance, when in this manner of writing thou canst not truly repeat, those words, which thou placest for ours, when they be just written before thee. Is it not one thing to say, that *Salutations* that are heathenish or heathenish *salutations*, cannot be used without sin, and *idolatrie*, and another thing to say, that *salutations* and *bowings* are heathenish, and idolatrous? Who is so blind as not to see here a vast difference. As to the first, who dares deny it to be a truth, that yvill offer to call himselfe a Christian? to vvir, that *salutations*, and bowings, that are heathenish, cannot be used vvithout *idolatrie* and sin? But as to the other that *Salutations* and bowings are heathenish and idolatrous, being taken in generall, vvas never said, nor judged by the *Quakers*, and therefore to charge them vvith it, is utterly false, and a lye, for such *salutations* as *Christ* commands, and the *Apostles* practised, the *Quakers* dearly ovvne, and frequently use, and find in them great refreshment becaus there through the *life* flowes, and is communicated from one *vessel* to another, but such *salutations*, thou art ignorant of, and of the *life* that is there through communicated, vvhich bears *testimonie* against all that is heathenish and idolatrous, and leads out of it, and therefore in thy *dark mind*, vvouldst thou thence, plead for the customary *salutations* of the heathen, as appears by the proofs thou bringest vvherein thy folly is very much manifested. *Christ*, sayest thou, commanded his disciples, vvhen they entred into a house to salute it, hee did so, and *what more*? And if the house be vvorthy, their *peace* shall be upon it, to vvitt, the peace through the salutation intimated or offered, becaus they brought to that house, the tender of the *Gospell* and glad tydings, vvhich vvas a good *salutation*, but vvhat vvouldst thou inferre from that? that vve ought to doe of our *hats* one to another, a thing vvhich they never did, by vvhole example thou vvouldst presse us to doe it, and it is knowne, that it is a thing *unusuall* in that part of the world to this day. That other proof, alledged from *Paul*, saluting the *Churches* makes as litle if not far lesse to the purpose. *Paul* in his *Epistles*

iles, who was at a great distance, vvisheth grace and peace to the
 Churches from God the Father and the Lord Iesus Christ; Ergo wee
 ought to take off our hats. Can there be any thing more ridiculous? is
 this the great esteeme yee put upon the scriptures to take the *salutations*
 of the blessed *Apostle Paul* signified by the motions of the *Holy Spirit*,
 vvhich vvvas the very blessing of *Paul* to the Churches or rather of the
Spirit through him, for to prove your doing off hats, one of the cor-
 rupt customs of this vvorld. Is not this to make a mock of the *Script-*
ures, and a stretching them to plead for that, against vvvhich is the natu-
 rall tendencie of their testimonie. Next thou givest us *Abrahams* prac-
 tise; but every practise of *Abraham* is not a rule to us, nor to you either;
 the like may be said of that of *Moses*. Though *Moses* did obeisance to
 his father in law that makes nothing against us, far lesse his kissing of
 him, and asking him of his vvelfare, both vvvhich things the *Quakers*
 deny not. Thou acknowledgest that religious vvorship given to the
Creature is idolatrie. What is Religious Worship but that vvvhich is given
 to God? and is not the bowing of the body, and uncovering of the
 head, the signification of your Worship to God? And if yee give the
 same to the *Creature* also, where is the difference? for in the external
 signification it is not distinguished, unles it be said to be, the inten-
 tion, vvvhich if it be, wee shall have the Papists pleading the same, for
 their adoration of *images*, and the *relics* of the saints. And truly your
 being found in these things gives them advantage in that matter. That
courtesie and *Christianity* are not repugnant, vvee deny not, and there-
 fore for *Christians* to be *Courteous* one to another is very fit, vvvhich in-
 deed that the *Apostle* commands wee acknowledge: But that *Courtesie*
 consists in taking off hats, and bowing to one another, that rests for
 thee to prove. In the next place, to prove the indifferencie of using the
plurall number instead of the *singular* to one person, thou sayest; thou
 art very confident, the *Kingdome of God* consists not in vvords so am I
 too, yet I strange, thou shouldst say so, considering thy *principles*,
 for vvhat is all your preaching but *words*, yea vvhat is the *Scripture*
 it selfe, (I meane that vvvhich yee have of it, to vvite the letter)
 but *words*? And seeing the very *Gospell* according to you, is but a
 company of *words* being a declaration of vvhat past, many hundred
 years agoe, how has thy zeale here to oppose the *Quakers* made thee
 forget thy selfe in this matter? Thou sayest, that to vvvhich the *sin-*

gular number is agreeable, the *plurall* may be applyed to without making a lye. The proofs alleged for that be *Matth.* 23. 37. *Luke.* 22. 31. 3 *Epistle of Iohn.* vers. 13, evince nothing in this matter, for the Contexts being rightly considered vwill clearly make out, that the vvords are not applyed to one single person only, exclusively of others, and that of *Luke* is to a flock comprehending the disciples, to vvhom hee vvvas speaking just before, but there is no confounding of the number, vvhere one *single* person is only spoken to, and that vvithout understanding of any more. And though indeed it vv ere good, that the difference vv ere not greater, yet the differences in these things evidence that there be differences in greater matters. And in respect that yee are estranged from the *principle* that leads out of *corruption* in all things, therfor yee cannot see the vveight, that is in these things vv hich is more then yee are avware of.

Pag. 3. Thou seemst to take great advantage of these words. Heretofore I walked according to my light, and the same I doe still, and while in the integritie of my heart, I walked in the way, thou art now in, I dare not say, but *God*, countenanced me in it. Here thou makest a great stirr as if thou hadst brought the *Quaker* to a great *Dilemma*. But to passe by thy examining of the weak *objection*, which thou makest in the *Quakers* behalfe which I beleive was never alledged by any of them unto thee, as that wherewith they either only or chiefly defend themselves in this matter. To wit *Salomons* sacrificing at *gibion*. As in many other particulars so in this thou statest the *Quakers* part, but too weakely, and faintly, yea disingenuously, for the *light*, which wee walk according unto and desire to walk according to it for ever, is the *light of Christ in us*, and not our *light* otherwise then by the free gift of *God* which wee doe freely acknowledge did shine in our hearts in some measure in the tyme, wee walked with you (though wee did not so know it) and gave us some *knowledge* and *discerning* of things, and begot a measure of integrity and honesty of heart towards the *Lord* in divers of us, and turned the *bent* of our hearts truly towards him in measure. And the *Lord* countenanced and vv isited and sometimes refreshed us *secretly* in these dayes, with a regard to that measure of integrity he found in us, and not becaus of, or in respect unto that way of *Profession* wee then walked in, which way was truly a hurt unto us and not advantage, and it was not *your way*,

way, which wee walked in with you, that the Lord countenanced but the integrity and uprightness, which hee had begot in us, and had placed in us, as a tender plant and as a root in dry ground, under the oppression of your way, which burdened it, and untill wee were brought out of your way, by his arme which drew us, his seed and plant in us suffered, and was oppressed as a cart with sheafes, but after wee were delivered from your way, and turned to the way wee now walk in, the seed and plant, which suffered came to receive strength, and be raised unto life and Dominion, as many are witnesses at this day. Nor is this thy argument, any other, but that which which the papists did throw against those who sometymes walked with them in the popish vway of profession some hundred years agoe vvhhen they came out from among them, vvhom the Lord visited, vvhile they vvere among them, and at tymes refreshed them; till he brought them forth to vvitnes against them; for the Lord hath a people in Babylon, and hath his sheep, vvhich are scattered on the dry and barren mountains of many sorts, and wayes of professions, vvhich have some tender breathings, and desires after him, and vvhith a regard to his breathing seed in them, hee visits them, and refresheth them attymes, vvhich yet proves not that they should remaine, vvhether they are in Babylon, and upon the dry mountains of dead professions and observations. For the Call of the Lord is unto them to come out of Babylon, and his arme is stretched forth, to gather them, off from all these hills, unto his owne holy hill, Mount Zion; that they may feed and lye downe with them, who were as sheep going astray, but are now returned unto the shepherd & bishop of their soules. Also may not those of the Episcopall forme object the same, against those who have left it, upon a further discovery, and yet its like thou wilt not deny, but some who have beene under the episcopall forme, had a measure of integrity to God, while under it, and with a regard to that the Lord at tym's refreshed them; God does not frequently discover his will to his Children, all at once, nor lead them throughly out of things, out of which, they are to come, in an instant, and yet that hee countenanceth them in there travel cannot be denied; did not the Lord countenance Cornelius, before Peter came unto him? As appears by Acts 10. 4. And yet this was no argument, that Cornelius, should not owne the Apostles and Christians, and did not the Lord counte-

nance the disciples, though even, when they wer following him; they were ignorant of many things, and in some things wrong, and whither did not the Lord countenance *Luther* in his testimony against the *Pope*, as well in the *first*, as in the *last* steps of it, although it appears: that when hee first began to preach, against *indulgences*, hee intended, not such a thing as afterwards followed, but things opened more and more unto him, till they came unto that *period*, they were brought unto, befor his *death*; and who of you will say, that God did not countenance him from the beginning whilest he held many things, which hee himselfe came to see to be wrong, and erred very grossly in the matter of *Consubstantiation*. The like may be said of *Iohn Hulse* and others, whom you acknowledge to have beene *Martyrs*: at last thou endest it, vvith a *question*, asking vvhither it be safe, to leane to the *audience* of that *light*, vvhich one vvhile sayeth that such a vvay is the vvay of *Christ*, and another vvhile thou must come out of it, for it is the vvay of *Antichrist*: To vvhich, vvhat is above mentioned, answers sufficiently, yet further I may easily retort the *Question* thus, upon the most of all the *nationall Ministry* in Scotland, vvho are novv licking up, that vvhich they heretofore cryed out against as *Antichristian* and vvith fire and sword persecuted those vvho offered to plead for that vvhich novv they both practise, and avow themselves in. Novv as the fault of this cannot be ascribed to the *scripturs*, which is the rule, whereby they pretend to be guided so neither can any mans instability that pretends to be guided by the light (if any such thing could be showne) prove the *light* a *guide* not to be followed.

To prove that *Christ* is not in all men, thou arguest thus. *Christ* is not in all men, becaus the Scripture speaks of a being without *Christ* in the world, to which thou addest the *Reason*, the unconverted must needs be without *Christ*, becaus they want the *uniting principle*, vvhich is *faith*; to answer, that *Christ* is in them, but not in *union* vvith them, thou sayest is a fond *distinction* becaus the *Scripturs* vvay of expressing peoples *union* vvith *Christ*, is by asserting *Christ* to be in them, vvhich thou takest for granted, and from thence drawest thy *conclusion*, but if it be found to be false, then the vvhole *fabrick* falls, to the ground (as indeed fals it is): For even according to the *Scriptures*, the *in being* of *Christ* in men sometimes signifies *union*, and sometimes his *existence* in them vvorking and operating

in them, by way of *reptuse*, and *judgment*, as also by way of call and invitation, to prepare for *union* with him. As appears by the very first Scripture cited by thee, *Ioh. 14. 4. 20* which saith, *ye know not thy mind*. For yee say not, that, where there is no *union*, fruit can be brought forth unto God, but mark the last part of it, how much it makes against thee, without me saies Christ, yee can doe nothing. For how becoms an unconverted man a convert, but by having Christ to vvorke with him? & where does Christ cooperate? Does hee not there where the *work of Conversion* is wrought; and is not that within? So that Christ must needs be in men, before they be in *union* with him, whereby the faith may be wrought, by which they are united to him and as to that other Scripture, *1. Iohn. 3. 24.* these and other Scriptures which might be cited hold forth that in being of Christ, which is by *union*, but say nothing, against his in being in them, where the *union* is not, for he is in them who know him not and are *Darknes*, *Iob. 1. ver. 10. and 15.* and he was crucified in the *Corinthians* and *Galatians*, which was in the tyme of their unbeliefe, *1. Corint. 2. ver. 2.* and *Galat. 3. ver. 4.* for the words in the greek are *ἐν αὐτοῖς*, i. e. *in yek*; and indeed there can be no greater absurdity then to say, that Christ is in no man, but in them with whom hee is united; for Christ is not separated from that *light* and *seed*, which is of him, that is in every man but is united with it; which bears testimony against all *iniquitie*, but many *typos*, men are far from being in *union*, with that in them, which witnesseth against all *sin*, as experience sufficiently teacheth. Then is that be in them, which is *pure*, and if Christ be in that pure then Christ is in them, and if they be not united with that which is *pure* in them, then are they not united with Christ, which is in the pure, that is in them.

It seems strange to thee, that Christ should be in the heathen, and they not know him. Was it not as strange, that hee should be among the Jewes, who had *halberts* that did bear a testimony of him, & they not know him, & that notwithstanding his miracles, and other proofs hee gave of himselfe, they should so far mistake him, to judge him to be an *impostor*, & *blasphemer*. Thou sayest, is Christ so uncouth to them, hee dwells in us, as not to reveal himselfe unto them, but though wee say, that Christ is in all men, wee doe not say, hee dwells in all men, y

— asq son nobler may try his — and in all men gold, gold, gold

for dwelling signifieth more then *in being*, and yet I say, hee does re-
 veale himselfe in some measure unto all, in whom he bears witnes a-
 gainst iniquitie, for the *revelation* of *Christ* unto one, is not alwayes,
 by giving the knowledge of what past *externally*, but is a *revelation*
 of the righteous judgement against the transgressour in them, which
 to say, that the heathen wanted is false, and contrary to scripture
Rom. 1. 18. 19. 20. 1oh. 3. 18. 19. 20. yea and contrary to the
 very acknowledgement of Americans; who have confessed, that
 there was that in them, which judged and reproved evill; Whither
 or not, their ignorance of the outvvard transaction, derogats any
 thing from their capacity of *salvation*, comes here after in its place to
 be examined, together vvith that other *saying* of thine, vvherein
 thou shewest, the like disingenuity, viz. that the saying that eve-
 ry man hath sufficient *light* to lead him to life and *salvation* tends to
 put *Christians* in the same condition vvith *Pagans*: because sayest
 thou *Christians* have no more; and the preaching of the *Gospell*,
 and the benefit of the *scripturs*, are litle to be regarded, for vvithout
 them, men have sufficient *light* to lead them to the things of God;
 for the saying that men have sufficient *light*, hath no such tendency,
 for hee that is truly and really a *Christian*, (and not nominally, on-
 ly) is one, that is united to *Christ*, and believes in him, now it is
 one thing to have the *Light*, and another to believe in it, which
 is clearly made out by that scripture, while yee have the *Light* be-
 lieve in the *Light* but yee may become the children of it. And that
 it is a great advantage to have the knowledge of the scripture, as out-
 vvardly; vvee deny not, for the reaching and raising of the seed in
 them, that are a far off; and also for the comforting and refreshing
 of them, in whom it is raised, as the *scriptures* are used in that Spirit,
 vvich gave them forth. Therefore vvee labour & travel so much for
 that end, and are found using the *Scriptures* testimony. If it be said,
 that therein vvee contradict our principle, being it is possible that
 people may be saved vvithout the *scriptures*, I answer may. For ma-
 ny things are profitable, which are not of absolute necessity; you
 your selves acknowledge, that other books besides the *scriptures*, are
 not of absolute necessity unto mens *salvation* and yet you judge not
 all other books useless, yea, yee too much relye upon books. Al-
 though you doe not say, that it is impossible that any can be saved, vvith-
 out preaching upou the *scripturs*, and yet you reckon not prea-
 ching

ching to be in vaine. But doe yee not rather contradict your principles, who say that the number of all those who ever can be saved, is so definite from all eternitie, and that without respect to their faithfulness or diligence in the using of the means, in the foreknowledge of God that none of them can misse of *Salvation*, and yet keep such a stirre about preaching and *ordinances*, for you deny, that God hath decreed men unto *salvation*, whom in his foreknowledge hee did foresee, would be faithful, and diligent in the use of the means.

Pag. 7. Thou sayest all men have not *saving* and *sufficient* light in them, because the Scripture saith that some men are brutish in their knowledge, *Ierem. 10. 19.* But why didst not thou cite these words, For the pastors are become brutish, and have not sought the Lord, therfor they shall not prosper. Wee see the prooffe of this, at this day, but from thence, how makest thou it appear, that some men want *Saving* light: the pastors are now as brutish, as they wer then, and it is because they turne their backs upon that light, and will not follow it: therfor wee have the more need to bear testimony unto it, and against their brutishnes, who reject and despise it. Next thou citest *Rom. 3. 11.* There is none that understandeth. But, will that inferre that there is not any *saving* light in them? Why understand they not, but because they are not turned to the light that can give them understanding? It is supposed sayest thou, that the light in some may be darknes. So it may indeed, to wit, that light, that is gathered, from the carnal and earthly wisdom, which is from below, where it takes the letter of the Scriptures, and ads thereunto its commentaries and consequences, setting up this in them, as their only light, wee find that light proves but darknes; but that will not inferre that the true light, which comes from Christ, is or can be darknes unles in that sence, as the day of the Lord is called darknes, in Scripture, for even the true light, unto them, who reject it, is as darknes, in that it gives them not that comfort and joy, which it giveth unto them, who love it, and owne it, but troubleth and affrighteth them, as the night, and the darknes. So that these Scriptures stand in good unity with the Principle of all mens having *saving* light in them. Next thou objectest that having of *saving* light, and grace presupposes Conversion; But that I deny, for on the contrary, Conversion presupposeth having light and grace, by which and to which men are to be converted, So that before a man be converted hee must have *Saving* grace in order to convert him

him, even as, the being healed of a wound, presupposeth the plaister, or salve, but not on the contrary, for, the application of the plaister, presupposeth not, the being healed. But whereas, thou sayest, what need is there of his turning, when men are in this state already? thou misrepresentest us, for wee doe not say, that all men are in a state of light and grace; to be in a state of grace, is to stand in grace, which the wicked doe not stand in, yet this hinders not, but that grace is communicated unto them, whereby they may come to a state, or standing in it, while the day of their visitation remains.

Again thou undertakest to prove, that all men have not sufficient light, two wayes; first, that all men, have not the Spirit of God. *jud. 19.* to which I answer, that there may be a sufficient light in men, who may be said after a certaine manner, not to have the Spirit, as being such, vvho, though the Spirit be in them, to invite, call, and drawv them unto God, yet resist his drawvings, and so separate themselves from it, so continuing untill the day of Gods visitation unto them, come to an end, concerning vvhom it is true, that then, they have not the Spirit so much as to invite and call them, unto God, or to give unto them, the least tender of his love. And though all have not the spirit bringing forth the fruits thereof in them, to vvith love, meeknes, gentlenes, &c. as no vvicked persons have, yet all, even the vvicked in a certaine day, have the Spirit in them, to reprove and convince, yea to call upon them: and strive vvith them, in order unto their Conversion. for the Spirit of God reproves the world of sin. *John. 19. 8.* and *Acts. 7. 50.* Tee stiffe necked and uncircumcised, yee doe alwayes resist the holy Ghost, and *Gen. 6.* My spirit, shall not always strive vvith (or in) man and many more to that purpose.

For a Second reason of its insufficiency, thou sayest, it reveales not Iesus Christ a saviour, in respect, it gives not a discoverie, of his incarnation, passion, resurrection, &c. Citing, *1. Cor. 2. 2.* and from this place, , thou wouldst inerr, that the Apostle preferred the knowledge of Christ, as crucified outwardly, to all other knowledge. Answer. Though wee willingly acknowledge, that to know him, even as he did outwardly come, and was crucified, &c. Is a Good knowledge, and of great profit, and comfort to them, who beleeve, yet wee deny, that the knowledge of him, as outwardly crucified, is the best, of all other knowledge, of him, or to be preferred to all other.

other wayes of knowing him, nor does that Scripture. 1. Cor. 2. 2. prove it. For Paul, is not speaking there, of Christ, as crucified outwardly in *Iudea*, but of him, as hee was inwardly crucified in the *Corinthians*, when Paul first came unto them, to preach the Gospel, as the words doe plainly import, being rightly translated out of the *Greek*, for I determined not to know any thing, *οὐδὲν*, i. e. in you, but Iesus Christ, and him crucified. This was the Apostle his care, and travell in his ministrie, to declare and hold forth unto the *Corinthians*, and other gentiles, Iesus Christ, who was crucified in them, in his suffering seed, even that seed of light and truth, which suffered, and was crucified in them, under the burden of their transgression, in the time of their unbelieve, and to preach, salvation, and deliverance from sin, and wrath, through Iesus Christ, according to his weak and low appearance, in them, in the suffering seed, through their beleving in him, and closing with him, as manifest therein, according to which, hee said to the *Galatians*, that Iesus Christ, was evidently set befor their eyes, crucified in them, *ὁμοῦ*, and *Ephes. 3. 7. 8.* hee said, this grace was given unto him to preach, in *ἡμῖν*, i. e. in the gentiles, the unsearchable riches of Christ, parallel to which, is that of the Apostle. 1. Col. 2. 7. for so should all these places be translated, which riches of Christ lay hid and wrapped up in them, in the seed of the Kingdome, which was the least of all seeds, even as the riches and fruitfulness of a tree lyes hid in the seed of it, which coms to be brought forth, as the seed, takes root, and gets liberty to grow up, unto the due stature, so for this the Apostle laboured, that people might know Christ in them, and might embrace him in their hearts, that so hee might be formed in them, *Gal 4. 19.* And they might know him to live in them, and his life to rule in them; which is more, then to know him, as outwardly crucified, and indeed, none know, the weight and greatnes of what hee suffered outvvardly, but vvho know him, first, as hee hath suffered in them, and suffer, and become crucified vvith him, so as to have a Sympathy, & fellow feeling vvith him, even, as the members suffer, and are pained, vvhen any thing hurts the head, or heart. And vvhereas thou sayest, you see, the Apostle judges the knowledge of Christ crucified, to be that one thing necessary. Wee deny that the knowylde of his being outvvardly crucified is that one thing necessary

sary, for people must know him in them. *Know ye not, that Christ is in you, unless ye be reprobats, 1. Cor. 13. 5.*

As for thy charge, upon the Quaker, saying, *with what face can you blame me, for being in darknes, seeing your opinion is, that all men have sufficient light in them.* It is frivolous, for though the light, which is sufficient be in thee, thy opposition to the light, blinds thee, and hinders thee from seeing light, a man may have a candle burning in his house, but if hee put it under a bushell, it will not give him, the sight of things in the house.

That there is no other name under heaven, whereby any can be saved but the name of Iesus Christ, is granted, but that name is another thing, then the bare expression, or declaration and knowledge of words or things, as *with out*; because his name is said to be a strong tower, *wherunto the righteous fly and are safe*; which is another thing, then the bare naming of his death, and crosse without, for many unrighteous plead a right to that and can fly unto it as we see by the example of the Papists; To whom it seems, ye will needs joine your selves in this matter, who say, that the outward naming of Christ, and signing with the crosse puts away Devils: and that wee are of another mind then you in this matter, is much to our advantage, because wee know the inward is the maine thing, and wher it is enjoyed, the efficacy, & benefit of the outward can not be wanting, though the distinct knowledge of it be not had. The knowledge of Christ his crosse within which is his power, that crucifies unto the world, is more then the knowledge of the outward, and to know Christ after the Spirit, is more, then to know him after the flesh, as is clear from the words of Paul; though I have knowne Christ after the flesh, yet hence forth, *know I him so no more*. The Apostle Peter knew well, that God was not so narrow hearted, as ye would have him to be, therefore hee said, that *hee had seene, that with God, there was no respect of persons but in every nation, hee that loveth righteousness, and feareth God, is accepted of him.* You your selves dare not deny, but that some infants, and deaf persons are saved by Christ, without the external knowledge. If you say they are not in a capacity to know these things, I answer, so neither are many of the Gentiles, who never had these things revealed unto them. But if the external knowledge be necessary to the one, why not to the other? And if thou sayest that some infants are in the decree of election, I aske at thee, or any

any of you, vvho of you, has seene the decrees of God, and how knowest thou, that none of the *Heathen*, are inrolled into them?

And vvheras thou sayest. *Is not the application of Christ his blood and sufferings, necessary to them that would profit, and get good thereby? For though the blood of Christ be a healing plaister, yet the plaister must be applyed, ere the sore can be healed. Now what application can the soul make of Christs blood, who knowes no such thing? the blood of Christ is applyed by faith but true faith is not a blind faith.* Answer. It is granted, but this blood is knowne and felt within, to wash and purge the conscience, for *Christ as hee is within*, is not without his blood, which is spirituall, even the pure blood of the *vine*, and is that wine of the kingdome which is inwardly felt to wash, and to refresh, which hee givs to them, who know not distinctly the outward shedding of the blood, as it was many hundred years agoe, and which many are ignorant of who have heard much of the outward shedding of his blood, but know not the blood, as shed and poured forth in them to sprinkle their consciences from dead works; for it is a *mystery* sealed up from all who stand in opposition to his *light within*. But there, marke thy owne words, *The plaister must be applyed, ere the sore can be healed.* Must not then saving grace be applyed ere, the soul be converted, or healed? Contrary to thy other assertion, Pag. 7. *that having of saving light and grace presupposeth conversion, that is healing.*

As for thy deceitful insinuation, to render us odious, *That if our principles were generally owned the nations in one age, or two, would be overspread with as palpable darknes, as the heathen nations at this day are.* Seeing it has no iust ground, wee returne it upon thee, as false and malicious: for it is the owning the *light & other principles* held by us, therein, which will make these nations, and all others who owne it, and them, to overflow with the true and saving knowledge of God and Christ, but so long as they follow such blind guides as thy selfe, who oppose the *light*, darknes will cover them, as at this day, notwithstanding all their professions, and confessions of him, while in works they deny him, and remaine estranged from his *light* in them. The reason, thou sayest is blind and unreasonable, *For according to you (thou sayest) the light within us, is a sufficient teacher, take heed to this, and there needs no more: For wee say, the light within must*

not only be taken heed unto, but *believed*, and *abeyed* and *walked in*; which who doe, it will lead them to read and make use of the *Scriptures*, and will lead them to the assemblies of Gods people, and to heare and receive the ministrie of those, whom God sends, yea to love and esteeme them greatly, for their works sake, and it will lead them to owne *Christ*, and the benefits of his *death*, and *sufferings* in the outward, and give them an understanding, how to conceive, and use a right those things, as opportunity is given them.

Pag. 10. Thou sayst, *Christ is a light, who communicateth light to all men*, but where find you, that the light communicated to all men is *Christ himselfe*? wee must not confound the light giver, with the Light or enlightening given. Answ. Wee doe not confound them, but wee must not divide, or separate them, as thou and you doe, for where the light or enlightening from *Christ* is, there is *Christ himselfe*; hee is not separated from it, but is *in it*, and *with it*: wee must not be so grosse, as to conceive that *Christ* enlightens men, in such a way of outward distance, as the body of the Sun, being so many thousand miles above the clouds, enlightens our naturall eyes, but comes not neare us otherwise then by its influence, and rayes; for *Christ* is near unto every one, no lesse then his influence, for in him wee live and move and have our being. And this nearnes of his unto *all men*, in a day, is more then that generall presence, in respect vvhreeof, hee abides, vvvith *all the works* of his hands, for as much as hee is in them to enlighten them, that they may believe *Joh. 1. 9.* compared vvith 7. Verse. Pag. 11. Here because thou canst not deny, but that there is light in all men, thou sayest it is commonly called the light of nature, and that some remainders of knowledge, and principles of good remaine in man, after the ruins of Gods Image in him, as when a city is demolished, some foundations of houses doe still remaine. But how is this consistent, with what you say, to wit, that there is no good thing at all in men unconverted, and that man fell wholly from God, and that *Adams* fall was not in part but wholly, as to *all things*, that are good? And that wee derive nothing from him, but that, which is corrupted, and defiled? But the *Scriptures* testimony is plaine, that since the fall, the free gift or grace of God, is come upon all, unto justification of life. It is not said, justification is come upon all: but the free gift or grace of God, is come upon all unto

unto justification, so that all may be justified, if they did close with it, *Rom. 5. 8.* And God so loved the *VWorld*, *Iohn. 3. 16.* And this is the condemnation of the *VWorld*, that *Light* is come into it, *Verf. 19.* Which is after the fall. And what ever any may conceive that remained in man of the *Image of God* after the fall, that could not have any power to convince man of evil, or enable him to doe any thing that is good, if it were not visited and influenced a new from the fountain of *Light* and *Life*, but it would remaine as a thing without all *Life* and *vertue*, as a candle extinguished. For by the fall, the lambs, the witnes came to be slaine and remained so, until the *Spirit of life* againe was sent from God into it, to give it *life* and *power*, to witnes against mens transgressions: for a witnes that is wholly dead, cannot witnes unto particular things of fact, against men; as this inward witnes in all men doth, who doe not againe crucifie it in themselves. And seeing *Christ* tasted death for every man, and the *grace* that brings *salvation*, hath appeared to every man, and the *Gospel* is preached in every creature, as it is according to the Greek, in *Hebr. 1. Tin. 1. Col. 1. 13.* It is manifest, that the *illumination* given to every man, is a new and fresh visitation of Gods love in *Christ* freely given, unto them for salvation.

Now as to the word *Nature*, if it be rightly understood there shall be no great difference about it. For if by *Nature* thou understandest the *Nature* that is corrupt and fallen, and that which simply is derived from *Adam*, then wee deny, that, that *Nature* can claime any right to this *Light*, or that it can be said to proceed, from that *Nature*. But if thou understandest by nature, the nature, that is spiritual and heavenly, and is derived from *Christ*, the second *Adam*, the quickning Spirit, the Lord from Heaven, then I say the *Light* may properly be called the *Light* of that *Nature*, in which sense, is to be understood, that place of the Apostle, *Rom. 2. v. 14.* cited by thee, where hee sayeth, *The Gentiles which have not the Law did by nature the things contained in the Law.* For who will be so grosse, as to say that the *Gentiles* by the corrupt *Nature* could doe the things contained in the *Law*, which is pure and Holy? But by that *Nature*, which is one with the *Law*, to wit, *divine and heavenly*, they might doe them. So that thou canst not, but still be accounted, a wilful enemy to the *Light*, whilest thou opposest it, and dost not turne to it, and by it, come to see the evil of thy ways, and forsake them.

Pag. 12. Though it be here asserted, in name of the *Quakers*, that the *Scriptures* are of excellent, and blessed use, yet thou wilt not believe it, and alledgest, they will not make the due, use of them, for these *Reasons*. First. Because a *Bible* thou sayest, *Is not to be seen in all our meetings*: But that will inferre nothing at all, for wee meet not to read the *Scripture*, but to wait on the *Lord*, and be taught of him, and receive from his *Spirit*, what hee pleaseth to administer, either in our selves, or through the mouths of his *servants*; & wee meet to Worship God, whos worship is to be performed in *Spirit* and in truth, and not in externall reading. Thou sayest *Christ took the book of the prophet Isaiab and read out of it*: But was not this, the performance of a *legal duty*, and in condescendence to the *Jew* manner? for it was in the *synagogue*, but did he ever coustitute it, as a part of the *Christian worship*; for one man to take the *bible*, and speak upon it, and all the rest to be excluded from speaking, while hee prattles, his owne barren empty notions about it? shew me where that was the practise, or order among the *Apostles* and primitive *Christians*: In the churches way 1. Cor. 14. there is no such thing, but on the contrary vers. 29. *let the Prophets speake two or three, and let the other judge, if any thing be revealed to another that sits by, let the first hold his peace for yee many all prophecye, one by one, that all may learne, and all may be comforted*. By which it plainly appears there was no such settled custome among them, but it is one of the maine inventions brought in, in the *Apostacy*, wherby barrenness and drynes hath entred and wherby the quickning *unlimited life* has beene stopped from flowing through many vessels. It is true the *Apostles* at times cited *Scriptures* out of the *law*, and the *Prophets*, to shew their fulfilling, or to open the mind of the *Spirit* concerning them, which is frequent in our meetings, to cite *Scriptures*, and open them in the same *life and Spirit* that gave them forth, in the order of the *Spirit*, but not in the order and way of mans *wisdom* and *Spirit*, as is your way, which favours more of *Aristotles School*, then of the *Church of Christ*. Secondly as to what thou sayest that it is not our way to encourage the People to read the *Scriptures*, and to try *doctrines* by them, 'tis utterly false, for wee desire that all may come to try *doctrines* even by the *Scripture*, but wee bid them also come to the *lights* in them, to read and try *doctrines*, and understand the true sence of the *Scriptures* therein, and if People did so, wee know, that then your jug-
lings

jugglings about them would be made manifest: but indeed wee are far
 from desiring People to heed your *falsa Glosses* and *commentaries* upon
 them; whereby yee darken them, rather then interpret them.
 Thirdly thou sayest, *when you want an inward command to a duty I throw*
the outward command of the Scripture is not regarded. Ans: here thou
 writest as one unacquainted with the *Law* and *new Covenant* writt in
 the heart; the *inward command* is never wanting in the due season,
 to any duty, as it is wanted for, and the *outward testimony* or
 signification of the command, vvee regard in its place. Is it not a
 regardisg the outward to mind the *inward unclien and spirit*, to vvhich
 it directs? vvhich inward reacheth all things, and leadeth into all
 truth. 1. *Joh.* 2. 27. 1. *Cor* 14. 15. *Iohn.* 16. 13. yea, doe not
 such more regard the outward, then they vvho under a pretence of an
outward command doe run about these things in their ovne *natural will*
 and *Spirit*, neglecting to wait upon the *Lord*, for the leading and
 help of his *Spirit*. Thy comparing us to *servants, who will not be moved*
to work, by their masters letter, &c. is vaine and ridiculous nor doth
 it reach us, for our *Masters* letter is writt in our *hearts*, and ther wee
 ar to find it, neither is our *Master* separated from us, as those *Masters*
 are, vvho use to write *letters* to servants to set them on vvork,
 while they are absent, and cannot help them by their presence, for
 our *Master* is *alvvayes* vvith us, and hee requires us to doe all our
 vvorks by his *immediate* counsell, direction, and assistance, as present
 vvith us, and *in us*. And that nature vvee vvitnes brought forth *in us*,
 vvhich does not shift his vvill, but delight in it, to doe it, and knovv
 it, vvwhether told us by a lively *voice*, or by any other inward signifi-
 cation of his *Spirit*. Fourthly, and becausthou art ignorant of that
 great duty, of *waiting upon the Lord*, in *silence*, out of all thy ovne
thoughts and words, and art trampling it under foot, thou lookest,
 upon it, as *wispend time* or a *meer looking upon the ground*; vvhereas if
 ever thou comest to knovv the *Scriptures* a right, or to confer a
 right, concerning them so as to profit, thou must first come to that
 silence, thou novv so much despisest. So that these things very
 vvell consist, though the vvorld may judge otherwise, vvhom
 thou vvilt have to be judges in the case: but in the judgement of
 those vvho are redeemed out of the vvorld, vvee shall be found to
 put the *scriptures* in their true place.

Thou canst not but smile, thou sayest, that a man of understanding, should grant the Scriptures to be a declaration of Gods mind, and yet deny them to be Gods VVord, for what is a VVord but a declaration of ones, mind.

Ans^v. Here thy lightnes appears, vvhich darkens thy understanding: if thou must needs smile, doe it, at thy impertinent reason, for though a mans VVord, be the declaration of his mind, yet every declaration of his mind, is not his word: for signes may be a declaration of a mans mind, without his word, and people usually distinguish betwixt a mans VVord, and his writ. And so though the scripture be a declaration of Gods mind, yet it is not his VVord, properly nor can those properties which are declared of the VVord, belong to the scriptures, as hath oft beene demonstrated, but to that inward and living VVord, as it doth declare it selfe whether in the heart, or in the mouth. The VVord of God is like unto himselfe, spirituall, yea Spirit and life, and therefore cannot be heard, or read, with the natural externall senses, as the Scriptures can, nor does the Scriptures cited by thee, as Hosea. 1. 1. Joel. 1. 1. Isaiah. 38. 4. Ieremiah. 14. 1. prove thy intent. For that VVord, vvhich came unto the Prophets, vvvas that from vvhich the scriptures vver given forth, vvhich VVord you confesse vvvas immediate from God, but you say, it is ceased to come novv. And did not all the Prophets prophetic from Christ, the Word? Thou mightest as vvell reason thus, that vvhen it is said, the Spirit of the Lord came upon such a one or to such a one, that therefore the scripture is the Spirit, and so deny all Spirit, but that vvhich, is the Scripture as some doe, in other Sects, calling the vvritings of the Apostles and Evangelists, the Spirit, and denying the necessity of any other thing, vvhich is abominable deceit, and vvresting of Scripture, and that the Prophets declaring their message said, thus saith the Lord, proves that vvhat God spake in them, and through them, as the living VVord declared it selfe, vvvas the VVord of God, but not, the letter, or vvriting. And vvhereas thou sayest it is all one to say, the scripture saith, and God sayeth. Answer, by vvay of inference and collection, it may be said, they are one, becaus of their agreement, yet the living VVord and speech of God, is not the scripture, more then the sun beame, is the shadow though the one agrees vvith the other; every one that reads or hears the scriptures read, hears not God immediatly, now that vvhich God speaks to any,

or in any, immediatly, that is only his *VWord* properly unto them. As they vwho only read my *Letter*, cannot be said, properly to hear me by *VWord* of mouth. *Christ* said to the *jewes*, yee have not heard his *voice*; though they heard the *scriptures* and though the *Aposle* useth some *scriptures* out of the old Testament, it provs *not*, hee had not the *VWord* of the *Lord* speaking them, immediatly *in him*, and to him. That *Scripture* thou biddest remarke, 1 *Thess.* 2. 13. provs not thy intent neither: for the *VWord*, which they heard of the *Apostles*, was that *living VWord*, declaring it selfe, through the *Apostles*, which was answered by the same *in them*, who heard; they heard *Christ* of, in and through the *Apostles*, does it therefore follow, that *Christ* is the *Scripture*? And lastly, *Mark.* 7. 13. Serves thy purpose no more, then the rest: for the *Pharisees* in striking at the *fift commandement*, did conesquentially strike at the *living inward VWord* which gave it forth, as those who strucke at any of the *Apostles* struck at *Christ*, yet none of the *Apostles* was *Christ* as nether is the *Scripture*, as it is outwardly writt, to speak properly, the *VWord* of *God*. And truly the reason, why wee may not call the *Scriptures* the *VWord* of *God*, (to speak properly) is that people may be directed to that *inward living VWord*, for by their being so much called the *VWord* of *God*, they have beene put in *Christs* stead, and have beene set up as an *Idoll*, in stead of that, from whence they came, so that to avoid this hazard; wee have putt them in their due place.

Page 14. To prove that it is the mind and *VWill* of *God* that the *Scriptures* should be the *Rule* thou citest *Isay.* 8. 20. *To the Law, and to the testimony.* &c. But it rests to be proved, that the *Law*, and *testimony* vvas not the *inward Law*, and that that *Word*, according to vvhich they vvere to speake, vvas not the *inward VWord*, vvhich is said to be in the heart. It is observable that to prove this thou bringest, *Iob.* 7. 49. vvhich the *Pharisees* say, *have any of the Rulers or Pharisees beleived in him, but this people that know not the law are accursed.* This place sutes the matter very vvell, but makes much against thee. For the *Pharisees* here were crying up the *outward Law*, and the knowledge of it; averring that the ignorance of it caused the meane people to beleive in *Christ*. So doe yee novv; yee pretend to cry up the *Law*, and say, the ignorance of it occasions so many to leave you. And as they then were setting the *Law* above *Christ*, and covering themselves with

a zeal for it, persecuting him, and reviling his followers as ignorants. So yee now, whilst yee are boasting of your great knowledge in the *Law*, and in the *Scripture*; and your high esteeme of them, yee are despising, crucifying the same *Christ* in his spirituall appearance, and upbraiding his followers now, as they did then, as ignorants, and contemners of the *Law*. And as to *Luk. 10. 26. how readest thou?* This was spoke to one, that was a *Lawyer*, or interpreter of the *Law*, and relyed upon it, so *Christ* spoke this to check him, and beside, the dispensation of the *Law*; which this *Lawyer* was under, was different from that of the *Gospel*, in this matter, as may appear *Heb. 8. 10.* Again as for *Christ*, and his *Apostles* using the *Scriptures* for convincing of their opposers; so doe wee, and yet this proves not, that either hee, or wee judge them to be the Rule wherby to try all things and *Spirits*, yea even the *Spirit* of *God* himselfe.

Page. 15. Thou seemest to lay much strelle upon this, *that it were impossible for us to prove to a Jew, or a Turk that Iesus the Son of Mary, is in very deed the Christ, without the Scripture.* But I Answer thee to that easily, by what way wilt thou perswade a Turk to believe the *Scriptures*, or their testimony, but by the inward testimony of the *spirit*? Calvin after hee has said all that can be said, of outward wayes, at last concludes, the only certaine way to know it in deed, is by the testimony of the *spirit*. *1. 1. c. 7. Sect. 4.* of his *Inst.* & as to the course that *Paul* tooke, with the obstinate *Jewes* it was very commen lable, becaus they said, they beleived the *Scriptures*, and seemed to esteeme them much, though they opposed the truth witnessed to in the *Scriptures*. So that it is evident that some great pretenders to the *Scriptures*, can make a cloak of them; to deny *Christ* himselfe as yee doe at this day. And though *Paul* tooke that course with the *Jewes*, yet wee see hee tooke no such course with the *Athenians*, to whom he cited no *Scripture*, nor endeavoured to perswade them by it; but told them they were the offspring of *God*, and wished them to feel after him; who was not afar of from every one of them: thirdly sayest thou, the *saints* had recourse to the *Scriptures*, in the examination of *Dissidines*. So have wee too; as befor has beene declared, but that will not prove the *Scripture* is the rule.

Page. 16. Fourthly, thou sayest, wee are commanded to search the *Scriptures* *Iohn 5. 39.* Answer. The words may be translated, you search the *Scriptures*, as *Pasor*, translateth them, But wee doe acknowledge, the

the *Scriptures* are to be searched, but are not to be rested in, which was the *Jewes* fault, who would not come to *Christ* to get life, thinking to have *eternal life* in the *Scriptures*, which *Christ* cheks them for: and that the *Scriptures* are profitable, for doctrine, correction, instruction, vvee owne, (and are commended for their dignity, and authority) but they are thus profitable onely to such, as come to the *Spirit*, to guide and direct them, how to make use of them, else they may prove an occasion of stumbling, as they did to the *Pharisees*: hence it is said *that the man of God may be perfect*, mark, the man of God, not every Man, now no man can be truly called the man of God but hee that is led by the *Spirit of God*. Next thou wouldst undertake to prove, that it is not the mind of God, that the *Spirit within men should be the Rule*, in which thou fallest very short, as appears, by saying, that *Christ* made use of the *Scripture*, to prove himselfe, &c: and not the light within. And did these *Jewes* receive him, who had the *Scriptures*, did they not reject him? and why? because they hearkned not unto the inward voice and testimony of the *Father*, concerning him: and this was the testimony, which hee said was greater, then that of *Iohn*, though *Iohn* was the greatest of the *Prophets*, and those who beleeve had the witness in themselves. 1. *Iobn*. 5. 10. but to the unbelieving *Jewes*, hee said, *ye have neither heard his voice, nor seene his shape*.

Secondly: Thou sayest, there is an expresse command to try the *Spirits*. 1. *Iobn*. 4. 1. Answ. but is there any word, there of trying them, by the *Scripture*, Cannot the *Spirits* be tried by the *Spirit of God*? or is there any better way to try them? How tryed *Peter* the *spirit of Ananias* and *Saphirah*? And is not the tryall and discerning of *Spirits* the priviledge of the *Saints* now? And how is it a peculiar priviledge to *saints*, unless it be done by the *Spirit of God*? For the *Scriptures* any can make use of, the *Apostle Iohn*, writing to the *Saints*, concerning seducers, points them, to the *Anointing*, which remained in them, and did teach them all things, and by this they did know, all things, and consequently *Spirits*, 1. *Iobn*. 2. 20. 26. Thirdly, thou sayest, undoubtedly, there are strong delusions, &c. Ans. there are so indeed. But was there any more strongly deluded, then the *Pharisees*? Yee how much did they lay claime to the *Scriptures*? how came they then to be deluded who wer so skilled, in the *Scriptures*? according to the

letter of them? and the poor People, who were not so skilled, so rightly to hit the matter?

And as to thy question, *what way, shall the delusion, be tryd, if you neglect the VVord of God, and look only within?* Answ: As for the VVord of God, nor yet the Scriptures testimony wee neglect not, but what way, thinkest thou shall the *delusion*, be tryed? If you neglect the *Spirit within*? and look only upon the letter, and words vvithout you? if the *Delusion* be strong in the heart, will it not twine, and vvrest the *Scriptures* without, to cause the *Scriptures* to seeme for it? and suppose a man be deluded with a *Spirit of delusion*, what can help him, but God whose *Spirit* searcheth all the deepest things of *Sathan*, and can, and doth discover them, to those, vvho love to be undeceived and are faithfull to God, in what they certainly know. And though the same *deluding spirit*, who deceived first, may deceive over againe, that makes nothing against the insufficiency of the *Spirit*, to discover, the *delusion*, but if a man be deceived either first, or againe he is to blame himself for his defect, in not being duely watchfull, and faithfull, in what is discovered to him of God truly, and certainly; Consider the tendency of thy argument which strikes not only, at the certainty of the *Saints faith* now, from the *Spirit within*, and the assurance of knowledge, therefrom, but also strikes at the very certainty and assurance of all the *Faith*, and knowledge the *holy Prophets*, and men of God had, from the *Spirit vvithin*, when Scripture was not. Wee are in no greater hazzard to be deceived now, then they were then. You that set up the *Scripture*, as your only rule, the many sects of you, what jangling and contessing is among you, while one pleads for his sence, and another for his? which all proceeds from their wandering from the *Spirit*, that gave forth the *Scriptures*. And as to satisfying of others, wee refer & recommend them to the same *Spirit in them*, to receive their satisfaction from that, which only can, and will satisfy them, who wait for it in singlenes.

Pag. 18. And whereas thou sayest, *the Saints, are led and guided by the Spirit, but it is according to the Scriptures*. So say wee too, But it doth not therefore follow, that the *Spirit* hath so tyed and limited himselfe to the use of the *Scriptures*, as alwayes to use them, in every particular step, of his guiding the *Saints*; the *Spirit* is free to use, or not use the *Scriptures*, at his pleasure, and guideth the *Saints* in many particular steps of their life, for which, there is no particular *Scripture*, either

to approve, or disprove them in. As for the more sure VVord of Prophecy wee grant it is the rule, but deny, that that more sure VVord, is the Scriptures, but it is that VVord in the heart, from which the Scriptures came, and in, and by, which the Scriptures are to be interpreted; and is it not grosse blindness & darknes, to say, the Scripture is more sure, then that VVord, light, life, and Spirit, from which they came? Had not the Scriptures all their sureness, from the inward testimony of the Spirit? How then can they be more sure? Thy example of the Schoolmaster, & the cobby, serves not thy turne, for the Spirit is unto the Saints both their teacher, & their Cobby. And they need not goe forth, for a Cobby; & if they vwalk according to this, by looking upon it, & eying it, they shalbe good Schollers & Proficients, hee writes them, a living Cobby in their hearts, engraves it on fleshy tables wheras they who looke upon no other Cobby, but the VVords without them, are those, who are ever learning, but never able to come to the knowledge of the truth.

Pag. 19. Thou askest, why wee disjoine the Spirit and the Scriptures? citing Isaiah 50. 21. *Ans^w*. Wee are not to disjoine, what the Lord putteth together, sometimes the Spirit joineth, or concurrerth, with the Scripture VVords and sometimes not, how many preach and pray, and read the Scriptures, and talke of them, without the joint concurrence of the Spirit? Which wee say, they ought not to doe, the Scriptures should never be used to preach, and pray, &c. But in the concurrence, and assistance of the Spirit; for they are not of true use, to any without the Spirit, but yee disjoine them, who would have praying in the letter, and using of it without the motion of the Spirit; to such the Scripture is indeed but a dead letter, and it is nowayes a reproach unto them, to be so called. Yea what are the best of men without the Spirit, but dead men? and this is not a reproach to them, but their Glory, so, nor is it to Scripture.

Thou sayest, they are said to be a killing letter, and this shewes, that they are not dead. *Ans^w*. A poor argument indeed! Can not dead things kill, if men feed upon them? If thou seedest upon sand, gravell, stones, shells will not these things kil thee though they be dead? And if thou feedst upon the letter, without thee, and not upon the life, thou canst not live; yea if one that lived, did depart from feeding upon the life, to feed upon the letter it would kill him. And as for that Scripture cited by thee, it makes very much against thee, to wit.

Isaiah.

Isaiah. 59. 21. For it is one thing for God to put *VWords*, into mens mouths, and far another, for men, to gather *these VWords* from that without and put them into their *owne mouths*; nor doth it say that the *VWords*, God shall put into their *mouths* shall be no other *words*, more or lesse, but the expresse *scripture words*; why art thou not ashamed to cite this *scripture*? doe yee not say, to speake as the infallible *Spirit* givs utterance, is ceased, and consequently, Gods putting *VWords* into the mouth, Gods furnishing them, with *VWords*, suggested from his owne Spirit and life? which the holy *Prophets*, and *Apostles* *vvitnesed*, to speake, as moved by the holy *Ghost*: doe yee not say, this is ceased? why then citest thou a *scripture*, which is so plaine and clear for it? but that thou art in *blindnes* and *confusion* *Pag. 19.* In thy procedure, upon the point of *justification* thou makest a large step, in that crooked path, of deceit, wherein? Thou hadst too much traced from the beginning, but now more abundantly then ever, thou displayest the *Banner* of thy disingenuity, and gatherest all thy forces together, it should seeme, resolving to give the *Quakers* a final, over throw; And to make the matter misty in the very entry of it, thou raisest Dust to thy self venting thy own filthy *imaginations*, under the notion of coming from them, applauding thy endeavours, as if thou wert studying to preserve pure, the principle of *justification*, in a point, where none is jumbling it, among us; as thou advancest a litle further *Pag. 20. 21*, having given a very scant account of their doctrine, in this matter, couching it in most disadvantageous terms, thou takest great liberty to extend thy selfe, in a foolish, and vaine excursion, as if, having fathomed the *Quakers*, thou hadst discovered them to be either turned, or turning rank *Papists*, therefore to trace thee throughly in this matter, that if it be possible, thou maist come to have a discovery of thy *Vanity* and *malice*, or, though, thou shouldst prove irrecoverable, yet others may have a view of both; I shall *first*, in honesty and plainnes declare the principle of truth in this matter, thereby observing thy misrepresentations. *Secondly* shew what vast difference is betwixt us, and the *Papists*, therein.

And *thirdly*, make manifest, how much nearer of kin yee are to the *Papists*, even as to this particular, and the things relating thereunto

unto then wee, which may serve, as a seasonable shower to allay that windy triumph, vvhich thou endeavourest to establish unto thy selfe. As to the first, vvee are justified by *Christ Iesus*, both as hee appeared, and was made manifest, in the flesh, at *Jerusalem*, and also as hee is made manifest, and *revealed in us*; and thus, wee doe not divide *Christ*, nor his righteousness, *without*, from his righteousness *within*, but wee doe receive and embrace him, wholly and undivided, **THE LORD OVR RIGHTEOUSNES.** *Jeremiah. 23. 6. 1. 30.* By which wee are both made and accounted *righteous* in the sight of God and which ought not, nor cannot be divided. And the manner and way, wherby his righteousness, and obedience death and sufferings without, become profitable unto us and is made *ours*, is, by receiving him, and becoming one with him, *in our hearts*, embracing and entertaining that *holy seed*, which as it is embraced and entertained, becometh a *holy birth in us*, which in *Scripture* is called, *Christ formed within*; *Christ within the hope of glory.* *Gal. 4. 19. Coloss. 1. 27.* By which the *body of sin* and *death* is done away, and wee cleansed, and washed, and purged, from our sins, not imaginarily, but *really*; and we really, and truly made righteous, and holy, and pure *in the sight of God*, which righteousness is properly enough said to be *the righteousness of Christ*, for it is immediately from him, and stands in him, and is as unseparable from him, as the beams are from the sun, and it is, through the union, betwixt him, and us, (his righteous life and nature brought forth in us, and wee made one with it as the branches are with the vine) that wee have a true Title, and right to what hee hath done and suffered for us, for, being so closely united to *Christ*, his righteousness becometh *ours*, his obedience *ours*, his death, and sufferings, *ours*: thus wee know *him* and the power of his resurrection, and the fellowship of his sufferings, being made conformable to his death, *Philip. 3. 10.* By which neernes, and fellowship, wee come to know, an unity with the suffering seed, both *in our selves*, and others, and therein to travell, for its raising, and deliverance; which yet no wayes derogats from the worth of the sacrifice, hee offered up unto God, without the gates of *Jerusalem*, while hee humbled himselfe unto death, even unto the death of the crosse, tasting death, for every man. This is an honest, and plaine, and true account of our beclife in this matter, and is in substance one, and the same, with that, which

at sundry tymes, *thou* and *thy brethren* hast received from us; notwithstanding the bare, scanty, and disingenuous account thou givest of us in this matter. Secondly, As to the vast difference, that lyeth betwixt us and the *Papists*, any who are not willfully blind; may see it, who know *their* Doctrine, and *ours*, in this thing.

It is not the works, of *Christ* wrought in us, nor the works which wee work, in *his Spirit*, and *power*; that wee rest and relye upon, as the *Ground and foundation*, of our justification; but it is *Christ himselfe*, the worker, revealed in us, indwelling in us, *his life*, and *Spirit* covering us, that is the *Ground of our justification*, and wee feeling our selves in him, feeling him in us, and *his Spirit*, *his life* covering us, wee feel our justification and peace with God in him, and through him, the alone *Mediatour* betwixt God, and *Man*. Now, this manner of justification by the indwelling of *Christ*, in the *Saints*, and of *his Spirit*, is not held by the *Papists*, but is expressly denied by them, and disputed against, particularly by *Bellarmino*. And *Christ Iesus* himselfe is both *first* and *last* our justification and foundation of it; and as to being justified by works, the *Scripture* is plaine for it, and so wee may not deny it, but plead for it, according to the true sence and mind of the *Spirit*, as wee are taught of him. But to be justified by him, is more then to be justified by works, and therefore are wee justified in our works; which wee work in him, because, wee are in him, and work them in him, and because the *Lord* accepteth and justifieth us, in him; therefore hee accepteth and justifieth, our works, wrought in him, and accepteth and justifieth, us, in relation to these works; and though it hath beene said, by us, that Good works, which are wrought in *Christ*, and are rather *his*, then *ours*, are *meritorious*, yet wee understand it not any other-ways, then thus; that all their *Merit*, or *worth* is from *Christ*, and seeing they are said in *Scripture* to have their reward; and Reward, and Merit, are relative terms, inferring one another, in that sence, wherein they are said to be rewarded, they may also be said to be *meritorious*; which yet hinders not, the freedome of Gods grace in justification, for wee doe verily beleive, and confesse; that both the *Workes* and the *Reward*, are of the free grace of God, and that the *Lord* giveth us all things, not of Debt, or as being in our Debt, but of free gift and his infinite goodnes and *Wishome* hath seene it meet to promise

promise a *Reward* to good works; and so hee doth reward them, because of his goodnes, and faithfulness, and not because he is addebted unto any of us, otherwise then as hee hath bound himselfe by his *promise*; And this is contrary to that false *Papish Doctrine*, which affirms, that men deserve a *Reward* from God, for *good works*, upon the account of *strict justice*, without respect to the *Promise*. And if it be *answered*, that all *Papists* doe not say so, but are more moderate, well then, I say If some of them be moderate, and passe from the erroneous opinion of *Papery*, and speake that which is true, if others speake what is true also, in that particular, should the *truth*, be accused, and condemned for ranck *Papery*, because, some *Papists* at times confesse to it. You your selvs know, that *Papists* contradict one another in divers things, and where men directly contradict one another, one of the sides, must speake true; But as to that, wherein the *justification* stands, and on which it is grounded, to wit, *Christ himselfe* as in dwelling in the *Saints*, none of all the *Papists*, for ought wee ever heard, or read, doe owne it, but are against it. Again as to the works by which the *Papists* seeke to be justified they are such, as vve beleive, none can be justified by viz. their *outward* observations, their *invocation* of Saints, bowing to *images*, saying *Avenaries*, telling their Beads, their *Pilgrimages*, their *whipping* themselves, their keeping *Lent*, and many other such like works of *voluntary humility*, by which they seeke to be justified, though they are evill works, as not done in the *faith*, and pover of God: nor does it serve thy turne to say, that *Papists* think not that vworks considered as *evill and sinfull*, are sufficient to justify them, for that is not the question whether the *Papists* think to be justified by vworks sinfull and evill, but this is the *Question*, whether the *Papists* think to be justified by *VWorks*, which are really sinfull and evill, (however they may imagine them to be good) and herein I say, vvee differ vastly from *Papists*, they think and seek to be justified by such *VWorks*, as are evill, in the sight of God, whereas vvee beleive that by no such vworks can any man be justified. Other-vweighty differences cold be shewed, in relation to this matter, but what is here in short declared, may suffice to evince, that vvee differ vvidely from the *Papists*, concerning justification.

Thirdly looke how near a kin, yee are to *Papists*, as in many other things, So in these, relating to justification. First doe yee not say, that yee are not justified by *Christ* in dwelling in you? so say the *Papists*. Secondly, Doe yee not say, that the way to attaine to a state of justification, is not by believing in the *Word of faith*, which is in every man, and in the *Light*, where with *Christ* has enlightned every man, that comes into the world? And so say the *Papists*: vvhoh though they talk of *universall Grace*, yet they deny that this *Vniversall Grace*, is an *Evangelicall principle of Light*; by believing in which, men can attaine unto a state of justification immediatly. 3. Doe yee not say that Gods act of justification is not an immediate testimony of his *Spirit*, declaring or pronouncing men righteous? And so say the *Papists*. 4. Doe yee not say, that men are not to know their justification, or that they are in a justified state, by an immediat testimony of the *Spirit*, in them by way of object, for this were to assert immediate revelation? so doe the *Papists*. So by these few instances given here and by many other instances given by others, in other particulars try your selves, and first clear your selves, of *Papery*, before you, or thou doest throw it upon us.

Now when as thou alledgeest, that the *Apostle* in the matter of justification, excludes all works, even those of *Christ* his working in the *Saints*, and which they work in him, 'Tis false, nor doe the *Scriptures* cited by thee, prove thy intent, as *Rom. 3. 20*, *gal. 2. 16*. *Tit. 3. 5*. thou sayest, the *Apostle* speaks of works in generall without any limitation. But herein thou contradicteest the very expresse *Scriptures* cited by thee, for all these *Scriptures* speake of works, with a limitation, as *Rom. 3. 20*, by the deeds of the law, there shall no flesh be justified, and *Gal. 2. 16*. knowing that a man is not justified, by the works of the law, here, the work of the law are excluded, but not the works of *Christ* in us, which are not of the law; for the law or first Covenant was weak, and gave not strength, to them, who were under it, to fulfill righteousness, but these who were in *Christ* *Iesus*, witnessed the righteousness of the law fulfilled in them, who walked not after the flesh, but after the spirit. And as for that other *Scripture Tit. 3. 5*. though it exclude works of mens doing, as of themselves yet it excludes not all works, nor inward righteousness of *Christ*, but expressly includes it, according to his mercy he saved us by the washing of regeneration, and renewing of the holy
holy

Holy Ghost, thou couldest not have brought a more plaine proof against thy selfe; for thou citest this *Scripture* as holding forth *justification*. Now the Apostle sayeth, he saved us according to his mercy by the *washing of regeneration, and renewing of the holy Ghost*, and is not the *washing of regeneration, and renewing of the holy Ghost*, a *work*, which comprehends many particular *works*, of the *Spirit of Christ*, in the *Saints*? And is not *regeneration*, and the renewing of the *holy Ghost*, a righteousness wrought in us? How is it then, that thou art not ashamed to charge us, with rank *Papery*, for saying, wee are justified by a righteousness wrought in us, seeing the very *Scripture* cited by thee is expressly for it? May wee not pertinently returne these words upon thee, which thou misappliest to us, Oh, tell it not in Gath, publish it not in the Streets of Askelon, &c. That a man, who pretends to teach others aright, in the matter of *justification*, hath so confounded himselfe, that to prove that *justification* is not by a righteousness wrought within, brings a *Scripture*, which speaks expressly of a righteousness within, to wit, that of *regeneration* and renovation by which wee are saved. And if any should say the words doe not say, wee are justified by the washing of *regeneration* and renewing of the *holy Ghost*; but wee are saved thereby, as intending *sanctification*, and not *justification*: I answer, This helps not, the Author out of the ditch, for he brings this *Scripture* forth applying it to the matter of *justification*: But againe, if these words, exclude all *works* generally, and without any limitation, then they exclude, all *works*, which are wrought by the *Spirit of Christ*, from *sanctification*; as if men, were sanctified by no works of the *Spirit of Christ* within them; or if it be said, that works of our owne doing, selfe-righteousnes, are only excluded, from having place in our *sanctification*, but not the works, wrought in, and by the *Spirit of Christ*; then I say, why may not the same distinction, have place in all these other *Scriptures*, which say, wee are not justified by works, &c. and indeed in all these *Scriptures*, it holds true no lesse concerning *sanctification*, then concerning *justification*. As thus, by the deeds of the Law, there shall no flesh, be sanctified, knowing, that a man is not sanctified by the works of the Law, &c. but it were vaine to infer from this that men are sanctified, by no works of righteousness, wrought in them, by the *Spirit of Christ* therefore it is as vaine to infer that

men are justified by no vvorks of righteousness, wrought in them, by his Spirit.

Pag 22. Thou sayest, *wee can shift of popery with this, that they are not our good vvorks, which deserve and merit justification, but the good vvorks of Christs vvorking in us.* yea I say, wee doe justly cast of the accusation of Popery, as having the expresse testimony of Scripture, that wee are justified by vvorks, to wit, such as are wrought in Christ, and by him in us, *Iames. 2. 24. you see then, that a man is justified by vvorks, and not by faith only, compared with Tit. 3. 5.* before-mentioned and as for the Papists vvorks, by which they seeke to be justified, wee doe not acknowledge them to be such vvorks, as whereby or wherein any can be justified.

And vvhereas thou pleadest, that the good vvorks of Christs vvorking in us, are ours, citing *Isai. 26. 12. Matth. 5. 16. &c.* Wee grant it, but they are not ours in that signification, as vvhere it is said, *hee that is entred into his rest hath ceased from his owne vvorks, Hebr. 4. 10.* There are vvorks, which are so ours, that they are not, the vvorks of the sanctifying renevving Spirit of Christ in us, and such are vvorks both of open unrighteousnes and of selfe fained righteousness, vvwhich has no better root to bring them forth, then mans ovvne will and Spirit, and by such vvorks, vvee deny to be justified, yea vve deny all such vvorks, and the justification by them, and desire to stand in a continual denial, unto them, and forbearance from them: But againe, there are such vvorks, vvwhich are so ours, that they are Christs also, vvho vvorks them in us, and by us, and are ours by his free grace, and by such vvorks, vvee affirme men are justified.

Pag. 23. Thou pleadest, *That men cannot be justified by any vvorks of Christs vvorking in them, because they are imperfect.* And for their imperfection, thou instantest, 1. Faith; becaus it is said, *O ye of little faith, why doubt ye.* Answer. By this thou mayst as well exclude faith, from justification, every way, as vvorks, if it vvwere granted, that their faith vvwas imperfect; but that Scripture, nor no other, speaks not of imperfect faith, but of little faith. Now little Faith is perfect in the measure of it, as a little Gold is perfect Gold. And though the Disciples, had doubting, yet the faith, vvwas not the doubting, nor vvwas it made impure by it, for the least measure of true faith can never be

d efiled

defiled, otherwile it could not purify the heart, it is like the fire, which cannot be defiled, vvith the impurities of those things; it vvorks upon: And as for the *Disciples*, at that time, as they vvere in part, justified, or approved by the Lord, in relation to their *faith*, so vvere they reproved, and not justified of him, in relation, unto, or because of their *doubting*. But this *Scripture*, nor none other, proves not, that *faith* was, or is alwayes accompanied with *doubting*; *Abraham* beleived Gods promise, without *doubting*; and was strong in the *faith*, giving glory to God, and it was imputed to him for Righteousnes, *Rom. 4. 10. 21. 22.* And said James, His *faith* was perfected by works, *Chap. 2. 22.* For that which is perfect in a lesse measure, can be further perfected in a greater. Secondly, Thou pleadest that knowledge is imperfect, because the *Apostle* saith, *Vve know*, but in part, *1 Cor. 13. 9.* But the *Apostle* does not say, our knowledge is imperfect, or impure: Wee may know a thing in part, and yet that vvich vvee know of it, vvee may know perfectly. Thirdly, Thou pleadest for the imperfection and uncleannes of the *Saints* obedience, from *Eccles. 7.* But that place is not to be understood, concerning all men in all states and times. There is an *earthly* unrepewed state and while men are here, there is not a just man among them, as *Rom. 3. ver. 10.* There is none righteous, no not one, and there is a heavenly renewed state, wherein a Man is borne of God, and sinneth not, *Ioh. 3. ver. 9.* And said the *Apostle*, Let no Man deceive you, hee that doth righteousness is righteous; which imports that there are righteous Men, who doe good; and said the Lord to the *Servants* that used their talents, *Vvel done, good, and faithfull Servants.* *Matth. 25. ver. 21. 23.* And that other *Scripture*, thou citest *Isai. 64. 6.* Serivs nothing thy turne: For the *Prophet* sayeth not, all our righteousness, which is of thy working, in us, vvho are *Saints*, is as filthy rags, but all our righteousness, vvich vvee, even the best of the *Saints*, can performe, of, and from themselves, are as filthy rags, mans best works, his best righteousness, which is of, and from himselfe, is filthines, and unrighteousnes before God; and hee is to cease from all his ovvne works, *Hebr. 4. ver. 10.* And it is plain e that vvhen the *Prophet* in that place sayeth, *Vvee are all as uncleane, and there is none, that calleth upon thy name* he does understand the multitude of the *Jewes*, vvho generally vvere a carnal People, and relied upon their outward observations, and did not Worship God, in Spirit, and in truth

truth, but did not understand it of all, and every one, among them; for hee himselfe did call upon his Name; and that, the Saints were washed, and cleansed, see 1. Cor. 6. 11. *But ye are washed, are sanctified, are justified, in the Name of the Lord Iesus, and by the Spirit of our God. And Ioh. 15. 3. Now ye are cleane, through the word, which I have spoken to you. And Ezekiel 37. 33. At which time I shall cleanse you, from all our iniquities, I shall also cause the cities to be inhabited.* Which imports a tyme upon earth, vvherein they should be made cleane, from all their iniquities. And howv art not thou, and you ashamed to affirme, that the best vvorks of the Spirit of Christ in his Saints, are as a filthy rag? Does not the Apostle say, that a meek and quiet Spirit is an ornament, which is of a great price, even in the sight of God; howv then can it be, a filthy, or menstruous rag? A filthy and menstruous rag, is good for nothing, but must be throwne away, upon all accounts, and if that holynes, and righteousness and meeknes, vvhich is of Christ his vvorking in men, be as filthy rags, then according to your doctrine, men should throwv them away, as being not only unprofitable to justification, bnt to any other use, yea a filthy and menstruous rag, men doe hide from the sight of another, and doe never vvear it as an Ornament vvhereas the Saints put on the mecke, and quiet, and sober, and righteous Spirit, as an Ornament, of great price, not only in the sight of the Saints, but even in the sight of God.

Page. 24. Thou pleadst, that the good vvorks of Christ in the Saints, are defiled & imperfect because the Saints who are subservient, & instrumentall in them, are uncleane, and who can bring a cleane thing out of an uncleane? Ioh. 14. 4. *Answer.* It is granted that the Saints are subordinate co vvorkers with Christ but yet it follows not, that his vvorks in them, and by them, are defiled, and though it be said, who can bring a cleane thing, out of an uncleane, this hinders not, but that the Lord, can, and doth make Cleane, those who have beene uncleane, and so out of them, who are made cleane, bring forth, cleane things. And though, every one, in whom the vvork of sanctification is begun, be not wholly cleansed, but that there may be an uncleane part in them, for a time, yet there is also a cleane part in them; who are in the least measure sanctified, and so these who vvork with the Spirit of Christ; vvork with him, according to this cleane

cleane part, and it is the cleane part in them, which hee maketh use of, as his instrument; and as for the *uncleane part*, it is not to worke vvith *Christ*, but to be chained downe, and settered, and bound up from working, to the end it may be vvrought upon, that it may be cleansed, and thus by degrees, the *cleane part* encreaseth, and the *uncleane* is diminished, till all the uncleannes be vvrought out, and vvher the *uncleane part*, is let loose to vvork, the pure *Spirit of Christ*, doth never joine in vvorking with it, but judgeth, and reproveth it, and therefore, in so far, as the *uncleane part* vvorketh in any, that man, in whom it worketh is not thoroughly justified, and approved by the Lord, but there are who vvittnes the cleansing from all the uncleannes; and so, as cleane vessels, and instruments throughout, bring forth *cleane things*, *cleane works*.

Thy example, hovv that *cleane water* passing through an *uncleane pipe*, receives a tincture of uncleannes, hits not the case: For the *Spiritual Water*, is not, like the common grosse outvvard *Water*, vvhich, an *uncleane pipe* can defile, but like the fire, and the light vvhich though it touch *uncleane things* cannot be defiled by them: Every thing of the Spirit, is undefilable, as the Spirit is, vvhich no *uncleane thing* can defile. And if thou vvert vvell skilled in the outvvard creation, thou mightst find an *outvvard water*, so pure that passing through an *uncleane pype* shall not be defiled vvith it; but if thou knowvest not, these earthly things, and beleivest them not, as *Christ* said, *Ioh. 3. 12.* *How shalt thou beleive, if vve tell thee heavenly things.*

Page 25. Thou chargest us, *Vvith erring grievously in confounding justification and sanctification.* *Answer.* Justification is either taken, for God his adjudging a man, unto *eternal Life*, and in that sence it is not to be confounded vvith *Sanctification*, yet it is not be separated there from, for God adjudgeth no man, but the *sanctified* unto *eternal life*, or happiness; or it is taken, for the making a man righteous, and then it is all one, vvith *Sanctification*: And that thou sayest the vvord is most frequently used in *Scripture*; in that sence of adjudging, being opposed to condemnation; doth imply, thou hast not the confidence to assert, that it is alwayes so used as indeed it is not.

And wheras thou citest *Philp. 3. 9.* to prove, that the choicest *Saints* upon earth have disclaimed all righteousness, wrought in them, by which they

could be justified; I say, that Scripture proves no such thing, and thy observation to prove it, is insufficient; to wit, that the *Apostle* doth not speake of his righteousness, whilst he was a Pharisee, for that he disowned. *ver. 6. 7.* for admitting it, yet hee was still to deny and disowne the work, and righteousness, which could proceed from his owne will, and Spirit; even all the willings, and runnings which can arise from a mans selfe though he be a *Saint*, without the immediate operation of the Spirit of Christ, the *Saints* have this to watch against, to keep downe the active and working selfe-will and stop it from working the selfe righteousness, which if it be not watched against, and stood against, will fall a working its righteousness, which God accepts not, as being but the bare righteousness of man, and this is that righteousness, which *Paul* denied to have, which hee even calleth the righteousness of the Law, but this, (*which is of the Law,*) thou cunningly omittest, (because it made against thee,) it seems. Now what that righteousness of God, through faith, was, which hee desires to have, hee plainly expresseth, *ver. 10. that he might know him, and the power of his resurrection, and the fellowship of his sufferings, in being made conforme unto his death.*

Now, is not the knowledge of him, and the power of his resurrection, a work of the Spirit of Christ in the *Saints* by which, they are justified? according to that; *by his knowledge, shall my righteous servant justify many:* and is not the fellowship of his suffering, or the suffering with him, a work of his Spirit? & Lastly, is not the conformity unto his death, a work of his Spirit in the *Saint*, comprehending the whole work of mortification?

Pag. 26 Thy last argument, from *2. Cor. 5. 21*, is most absurd and impious, for accordingly, it would follow, that *as Christ was made sin for us, or suffered for our sins, who himselfe had no sin, no not in the least*; So wee may be made righteous before God, though wee have no righteousness, no holines, no faith, no repentance, no mortification, no good thing wrought in us. And doth not this strengthen, the wicked, ungodly and profane in their presumption, to have title to Christ his righteousness? And so to returne thy misapplied instance in another case, Suppose some of the profane, who plead a right to Christs righteousness, having lost some of their number, should happen to hear thee disputing against all good works, as being profitable to justify

cation, might they not say concerning thee, and thy brethren, who teach such doctrine, *wee have not only gott the lost sheep, but the lost shepherds and the cheifest of them too, on our side, let us rejoyce, wee have found them?* Wee find, the *Apostle* makes a farr better inference from *Christ* his dying for us, 2. cor. 6. 15. *he died for all, that they who live might not any longer live to themselves, but to God; yea and every where hee holdeth forth inward holinesse and righteousness, as that without which no man, can lay claime to Christ; if any man be in Christ hee is a new creature, but he doth not say, God reputes him a new creature, though hee be not really renewed. And though it be said that wee are made righteous in him, this hinders not, as thou vainly inferrest, that wee are not made righteous, by an inward righteousness; for hee is in the Saints and fulfills the righteousness of the Law in them; that the righteousness of the Law might be fulfilled in us, Rom. 8. 4.* Therefore that 2. Cor. 5. 21. is thus to be understood, that *Iesus Christ*, who knew no sin, was made to be sin for us, that is suffered for our sins, that wee who had really sinned, and so deserved wrath, might partake of the love and grace by him, and through the workings thereof, be made the righteousness of God in him for that the *Apostle* understood (here, a really being made righteous, and not a being esteemed or held as righteous, while indeed impure, is very evident, by the whole following chapter, but especially towards the end, *what fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousnes, wherefore come out from among them, touch not the unclean thing, be yee separate, and I will receive you, and yee shall be unto me, for sons, and daughters.*

Now to be received of the Lord, is to be justified of him, and here wee see plainly, that in order thereunto, there is required a righteousness, by which, they must be separated from the evill and uncleane, and must not touch it.

And whereas thou sayest, *that the holiest actions of the Saints, because of the sinfulness of these actions deserve condemnation.* I aske thee, whither did the *Apostles* sin in writing the *Scriptures*, in preaching *Christ*, and gathering the Churches? Whither their being the instruments made these things sinfull, which were done, not only by the command, but by the power, and vertue of *Christ* in them? and seing thou canst not deny, but the *Scriptures* (called by thee the word of God) were brought forth by the holy Spirit, in the holy men of God, and did flow,

as waters, from the Spirit of God, which gave them forth, through the very first penmen of them, becaus of the uncleannes, wich thou supposelt to have been in them? If thou sayest Nay, thou contradicteest thy former instance of cleane water, receiving a tincture of uncleannes from the uncleane pipe, through which it passeth if thou sayst yea to wit, that the Scriptures were defiled, and corrupted, by the penmen of them, I leave it to all of any sound judgement; whither you, or wee, be most esteemers of the Scriptures, wee, who say, they wer pure words as gold, without any tincture of uncleannes, or corruption, as they came forth, from the Spirit of God through the penmen of them, or you, if you say, that they were defiled with the uncleannes of the men, through which they were given forth, hee who has any true understanding let him judge, concerning these things.

Pag. 26. Thou blaimelt it as an unsutable thing for a Quaker to say that that people to whom hee is joined, are the most Christ-like Christians this day upon the earth; and yet will any of you, say lesse of your way? for if yours be not the best way, why doe yee plead so much for it? Why doe yee preach it up? Why doe yee study to draw people to it, and complaine of those who have left it? Now is not a good Principle, a ready way to lead people to good praçises? And are not these who are in the right way, of the flock of Christ? And is not Christs flock, like unto him? Can it therefore be an unsutable thing for one who supposeth himselfe to be of Christs flock, to say, the flock with whom hee is, is likest to Christ? Will any of you say lesse, except yee grant your selves not to be of Christs flock? Wee ar not the most Christ-like people, sayelt thou, by what wee outwardly appear; becaus the Monks and Hermits therein excell us: nor yet by what wee inwardly feelee, becaus others differrent from us, have felt as much. As to the first, thou hast shewed thy ignorance of the very appearance of Christianity: for the appearance of Christianity, is not in fleeing the Society of men, or retireing the outward man, making Vowes of voluntary poverty; for any one, that hath the least knowledge in true mortification, may know, that wher a mans meat, and provision is laid up for him, and that there is no care of these things lying upon the mind, but a full liberty to live in idlenes (which is the Monks case) it is an easie thing in selfe-vvill to take on, a demure deportment,

ment, or to wear *haircloth* or goe *barefoot*; vvhich by custome be-
 comes *familiar*. And truly many of the *Commons* in *Scotland*, are used to
 greater hardships, then all that, and yet are far from having the
 appearance of *Christianity*, But the matter is, for people to be conver-
 sant in this *VWorld*, to have their occasions, and businesse in it, and
 to have dealing vvvith the *Spirit* of it, and yet to keepe to the, *meek, low-
 ly, simple appearance*, using it, as if they vv ere not using it, by kee-
 ping out of its *Spirit*, and *VVay*, in all manner of *Conversation*. This
 is to be like unto *Christ*, vvho did not retire himselfe unto a *Hermits*
 lodge, but conversed among *Publicans*, and *sinners*. Novv let your
flocks, and the *Quakers*, be compared together in this particular,
 and let the *lights* in all *consciences* judge, vvho are likest to *Christ*. *Second-
 ly*, to evidence, that some different from us, have had as much
inward feeling, thou sayest, *thou canst tell us of some, who have had so
 much of the feare and dread of God, upon their hearts, that they durst not
 adventure upon sin*, by this thou seemest to grant, that there are
invvard feelings and enjoyments among the, *Quakers*, saying, *what
 good is it that you truly feell, that persons different from you have not felt?*
*And how doeth this consist, vvith your judging the Quakers fallen
 into Apostacy, and delusion, of the devill?* And that they are pos-
 sessed vvith the *divell*? can such have *invvard feelings and enjoy-
 ments of God*? for my part I am glad to hear, that any such have
 beene, vvho have had so much of the fear and dread of God upon
 their hearts, that they durst not adventure upon sin, and I should be
 glad, and so I know vvould any of the *Quakers* be glad to meete vvith
 them; But novv such vvho have so much of the fear of God upon
 their hearts, that they durst not adventure upon sin, vvould they not
 love to be perfect? vvould they dispute against perfection, and
 conclude it impossible? vvould such, vvho dare not sin for a vvorld,
 sin every day, yea every moment, as you say, yee doe? if they
 dare not sin, vvould they not restraine from sin, and cease from it?
 and vvould they make use of that poor evasion, vvich thou addest,
 that therefore they vvould not vvillingly sin for a vvorld? As long,
 as the dread and fear of God remains, and stands over the heart, sin
 is shut out, and the minds vvill is to fear God, and not to sin. Thou
 canst tell us of others, (thou sayest) *who many years lived in the sweet sence of
 Gods favour, and have gone most triumphantly out of the world, vvith strong
 persuasions, of their eternal vvell-being.*

But would such have pleaded for continuance in sin? Doeth not continuance in sin eclipse, and take away, the sense of *Gods* favour? and further would such have denied fellowship with God, by immediate revelation as you doe? Would they have denied the immediate teachings of the *Spirit* as you doe? doe not some now living remember some of them who had these feelings, and did bear an expresse testimony to the immediate teachings of the *Spirit*, and immediat fellowship with God, and plainly declared that no preaching, was profitable, but that which came immediatly from the *Spirit*: and found fault with the *Ministers*, that they preached from their study, and their books, and wished them to put away, or burne their books, for that they were a hurt to them; and some of those saw over and beyond, and unto the end o' your so called ordinance of outward bread & wine, & said plainly it was but a shadow, or figure, & that those who witnessed the substance, had no need of the other, & though those, and some others who witnessed such inward feelings, and enjoyments of God ver not called *Quakers*, nor had their understandings so clearly opened as to many things, as the people called *Quakers* have: yet with the same life in some measure, they have been acquainted, which is the *Quakers* way even *Iesus Christ*, who is the way, the truth, and the life; and so as to those examples, thou givest, which was witnessed (thou sayest) some twentie yeers agoe. Wee deny not but that the Lord did appear, and was near the simple hearted in that day, and some who are now among the *Quakers* remember that day and have a share in those feelings, and enjoyments which are now, and in the experience and enjoyment of them can bear a true testimony, that the feelings and enjoyments of this day, unto those, who follow the Lord, in his leadings, doe far exceed what was in that day: and now the sun is set upon that day, for the Lord is calling his people further, and those among us who had those former feelings, can witness, that while they would have beene tasting of that sweetness, and remained still with you, the Lord would not; but suffered drynes, and barrennesse to come over them; and that which sometime had beene as a fruitful field, to become a barren wilderness till they saw, that they were not to limit him, to invented forms, but were to forsake those things in his will, in which through his indulgence and compassion, hee had sometimes appeared, unto them, and to be found following the

footsteps of the flock vvhom hee is leading on to a further state; in which they find the Lord appearing more gloriously then ever to their refreshment: *Glory to him for evermore.* But with you it is otherwise, for who among you witnesseth these things at this day? Yea some of you are so ingenuous, as to confesse that yee find not these things now; and that this is a cloudy, and gloomy day, and it shall certainly so continue unto you: until yee come, and walk with us, in the *Light of the Lord*: But becaus yee will not, but will confine the Lord, in these forms, whereunto yee have devoted your selvs, therefore is *darknes over you*, and your *Prayers* are become dry, and barren, and full of complaints of an *absent God*. And what inward joy from God, any have felt among you, we cannot impute it to your way; more then, what some have felt of refreshment in some other professions, and forms can be imputed to their way.

Page. 30. Thou sayest, *It is knowne that wee are enemies to singing of Psalms, Baptisme, and the Lords Supper*, and becaus wee say, that wee are not against these things, therefore thou callest us, *disingenuous, or such, as seek to delude People*; which challenge is false, and a calummie, for wee doe indeed owne these things, in the true acceptance, and meaning of them, and in the substance and reality, and if wee doe so, are wee disingenuous, and deceitful, becaus wee deny them in your acceptance, vvhich only comprehends the shadow, that passeth away? If *Baptisme*, which is really and truly the *Baptisme of Christ*, wee owne, and participation of the *Body*, and *Blood of Christ*, which is really so, I say, if these things be really owned by us. as they are indeed, can wee be said to deny them, becaus wee use not the shadow, as yee doe while yee are ignorant of, and strangers to the substance? Nay it may be retorted much more properly, and without deceit upon your selves, that yee do but pretendedly, in *Words*, owne these things while indeed yee deny them? so that here in yee are found to be the *Equivocators*, who are contending for the *busk*, and will needs have it accounted the *kernell*: and there can be no error more dangerous, then to place the *shadow*, for the *substance*; for such as so doe are those that trample upon the precious *ordinances of Iesus Christ*, in which the work of *grace* is begun and increased.

Pag. 32. To prove thy assertions particularly, thou beginnest saying, *that singing of Psalms is an ordinance of Iesus Christ*; vvh whereby if thou understandest that singing of *Psalmes* was used by the *Saints*, that it is a part of *Gods VVorship* vvh when performed in his will, and by his Spirit, and that yet it may be, and is vvarrantably performed among the *Saints* it is a thing denied by no *Quaker*, so called, and it is not unusuall among them, wherof I have my selfe beene a witnesse, and have felt of the sweetnes, and quickning vertue of the Spirit therein, and at such occasions ministred. And that at times, *Dauids VVords* may also be used as the Spirit leads thereunto, and as they sute the condition of the party, is acknowledged without dispute but that without the Spirit, in *selfe-will*, not regarding how the thing sutes their condition, for a mixt multiude to use and sing the expressions of blessed David, wee deny. For that was not the method, the Apostle spoke of 1. Cor. 14. 15. when hee said, *I will sing with the Spirit, and I will sing with the understanding also*, therefore though singing of *Psalmes*, in the true use of them, be allowable, yet as used by you, it is abominable; and is a *mock worship*, becaus yee cannot deny, but that the persons using it, are a mixed multitude, knowne to be *Drunkards, Swearers, VVhoremongers, &c.* Now such cannot praise God, for they are dead in their sins, and it is the *living*, that praise him, and not the *dead*. Next, all lying is abomination, but many times it falls out that by singing of *Psalmes*, the people come to lye in the presence of God, in stead of worshipping him, by saying, *I am not puffed up in mind, I have no deceitfull heart I water my couch with teares*; and much more of this nature which were the particular experiences of David, and may be safely said, by those that vvitness the same thing, but as to you that use them, are false & untrue. I say, as thou doest, *that though every Psalm does not sute our condition, yet in every Psalm, there may be meditation, for edification*: but this no wayes meets the case for there is a great difference betwixt meditating upon a *Psalm*, & singing one vvh whereby vvee apply ourselves to the Lord, in the vvords of David, vvhich unless they sute our condition, cannot be done without a lye.

Pag. 33, and 34. Thou comest to prove that *Baptisme with water* is an ordinance of Iesus Christ, for which thou givest as a reason, *First, because Iohn baptised with water, and was really sent of God*; Which thing

is not denied, because *Iohns baptisme* was a *Baptisme*, with *WWater*; But that that was the *Baptisme*, which was to continue is the matter in question: to prove which, thou bringest in thy *Second reason*, that the *baptisme* of *Christ* and the *baptisme* of *Iohn* differed only in *circumstance*, and not in *substance*, because they agree in the *Author*, in the *Matter*, and in the *End*. To which I answer, that though they agreed in the *Author*, that will not conclude them to be one, because by the same reason, it might be said, that the *Old Testament*, and the *New* are one, or that *Circumcision* & *Baptism* are one, for that *God* was the *Author* of both. As to the matter, they are not one neither, for the one was a *Baptisme* with *WWater*, and the other, a *Baptisme* with the *Spirit*, and with *fire*, as *Iohn* himselfe distinguisheth them. *Mark*. 1. 8. Now in respect *baptisme* with *water* can be administred, where the other, to wit, with the *Spirit*, is not, therefore they are not one in *Substance*. They also agree not in the end; for the end of the one, to wit, *Baptisme* with *WWater*, is but to point or shew forth the other, So that as the *shadow*, and the *Substance* differ in their ends, in like manner doe these two: for the end of the shadow is but to point to the substance: the end of the *Substance*, in this thing being to cleanse, and purifie the heart producing that effect to such as it is truly administred unto, but the *shadow* is frequently administred, and the heart not cleansed, therfor they differ in their ends. Now to shew, that they differ in *substance*, it is written, *Acts*. 19. *Vers*. 2. 3. 4. 5. that there were of the *baptisme* of *Iohn*, who had not so much as heard of the holy Ghost, far lesse received it. Now had the *Baptisme* of *Iohn*, and the *Baptisme* of *Christ* beene one they could not have had the one, and beene altogether ignorant of the other. For a *Third Reason*, thou sayest, that *Iesus Christ* commanded, and enjoined the *Disciples* to baptise, and that baptising they used *water*; But wher hee commands them to *Baptise*, *Math*. 28. there is no command to baptise them with *WWater*, or into *water*, but into the name of the *Father*, *Son*, and holy *Spirit*, So here is the *baptisme* into the *Spirit*, but not into outward *water*, and the *Apostles* were *Ministers* of the *Spirit*, and ministred the *Spirit* unto those who beleived. And though they used the *water baptisme* at tymes, yet it rests to be proved, that they did it in obedience to that generall command, *Math*. 28. and not in condescendence to the people, who had received

received a great esteeme of Iohn, and were so nursed up, with outward Ceremonies, that it was hard, suddenly to weane them from such, as they did the like in other cases, which also serves for answer to thy Fourth Reason, vvhether thou instancest Peter his baptizing Cornelius after he received the Spirit, for Peters vvords imply no command, but only that at that occasion the thing might be done, *Can any man, said he, forbid water, that they may not be baptised Acts. 10. 47.* And though it be said, *Verf. 48. that hee commanded them to be baptised in the name of Christ* yet it holds forth no command from Christ, only the thing being agreed upon, that it might be done, he bid doe it, but that the Apostles received no commission to baptise with water, is clear from that of Paul, vvhere he sayeth, *I thank God, I baptised none of you but Crispus, and Gajus, and the household of Stephanus, &c:* for said he, *I was not sent to baptise, but to preach the Gospell, 1. Cor. 1. 16. 17.* Nowv it is not questioned, but his Commission was as large, as any of the rest, for he himselfe said, that hee was not inferiour to the cheifest of the Apostles: but, that hee thereby denied, hee was sent to administer the holy Spirit, which is the baptisme of Christ, is absurd to think: for a Fifth Reason, thou sayest, *it is the will of Christ, that this ordinance should continue and abide in the Church, because hee promised to be with his Ministers to the end of the World;* To which I answer, that this promise related to the Baptisme of the Spirit, which is Christs Baptisme is granted, but that it related to the Baptisme of water is denied: for hee was with Paul, who yet professed, hee was not sent to baptise with water. And whereas some give their meaning to Paul his words, that hee was not sent only, or principally, to baptise with water, this is an addition to the Scripture Words, for which they can shew no sufficient ground: And if men will take a liberty to adde to Scripture Words, from their owne Spirit, they may wrest the Scriptures, to defend the worst of opinions, as when it is said, *Thou shalt not bow downe to them, nor Worship them,* one should put this meaning upon it: thou shalt not bow downe to them, not Worship them principally, and therefore would averre that graven Images may be worshiped, this were a most perverse abusing of Scripture. Sixthly, Thou sayest, *Those who cast off this ordinance doe what in themselves, to rob themselves of all the excellent ends, and uses of it, which are held forth in these Scripture expressions.* Ans. I hat such who cast off the Baptisme of Christ by the

Spirit, may incurre that hazard, it is granted, but that any such thing will follow from the not using of *water* is denied: as shall appear by examining the Scriptures cited. The first is, *Act. 2. 28. Repent and be baptised every one of you, for the remission of your sins.* Ans. Here is no mention made of *outward VVater*, and *Repentance*, and *Remission* of sins may be, and are found without it, and where it is, both these are frequently wanting: But though it should be understood of outward water, it is spoke but to particulars, and is no universal command. The Second is, *1 Pet. 3. 21. The like figure whereunto, even baptism doth also save us:* But the very following words doe give an answer to that, and clear the meaning, not to be of Water baptism, saying, *Not the putting away the filth of the flesh, but the answer of a good conscience towards God, by the resurrection of Iesus Christ.* The Third is, *Act. 22. 16. Arise, and be baptised, and wash away thy sins.* But that a being baptised with water is a washing away of sin, thou canst not from hence prove, seeing the contrary is abundantly witnessed, and suppose Water-baptism were here to be understood, it being but spoke to one, infers no universal command. The Fourth is *Ephes. 5. ver. 26. That hee might sanctifie and cleanse it; with the washing of water.* But by water cannot here be understood outward VVater, but that of the VVord and Spirit, for the next Verse speaks of presenting it without spot, or wrinkle: Which the outward VVater cannot doe, see the like place *Iohn. 3. 5. Unless a man be borne of the water, and of the Spirit, hee cannot enter into the Kingdome of God.* Now if by Water here, were to be understood outward VVater, it would inferre that VVater baptism is absolutely necessary to *Salvation*, which thou sayest, thou canst not affirme with Papists. Lastly, thou citest, *Gal. 3. 7. For as many as have bene baptized into Christ have put on Christ;* But VVater Baptism cannot be here understood, because many who are baptised with VVater, never put on Christ, nor bear his Image, but the Divells, and are found doing the Divells works; So that none of these Scriptures prove the VVater Baptism to be of continual necessity, in the Church, for it being but a figure, it was to give place to that one Baptism, *Eph. 4. 5.* And whereas, it is said by some, that the Water Baptism, and the Baptism by the Spirit is but one, because of that agreement betwixt the signification of the Water, and the Spirit, thereby signified; this is a vresting of this Scripture, as much, as if

one should say, that all the *types figures*, and *shadows* of the *old Testament*, were one with the *substance* signified by them, and consequently that these *Types* are all now to be upheld and used, whereas indeed, the coming of the *Substance* ends the *figures*, among which are the *divers Baptisms*, for so should the place be translated, *Heb. 9. 10. which were imposed untill the time of Reformation*, but are no longer binding, since the Reformation is come.

Thou endest this matter, vvith asserting, *That thou canst safely say, that the Spirit of God concurring with, and blessing this ordinance*; It is a profitable meane to further our *Salvation*: but if so be it be no ordinance of Christ, as heretofore is proved, then wee cannot expect, that the *Spirit* will concurre with it; but indeed that hee is provoked by it, considering the abuses in your *administration* of it: as *First*, in administering it to *Infants*, for which yee have no command, nor example in *Scripture*: Next, in causing ignorant people to promise and engage before God, that the *Children* shall forsake the *Diuel*, the *World*, and the *Flesh*, while they themselves be slaves to all the three, and many more abuses, as that vvhereby yee pretend to inroule Children as Members of the *Church of God*, which is *pure* and *holy*: it being oftentimes an occasion, of excessse and drunkenness; and is indeed, rather like an inrolling under the *Diuels banner*, seeing it is for most part accompanied with doing his work, therefore it is so farre from being hazardous to contemne such an ordinance, of man, that it cannot be, but hurtfull to continue in it.

In the *Third place*, *Pag. 39. thou comest to prove, that the Lords Supper so called, is an Ordinance of Iesus Christ*: For which thou bringst, as a *First Reason*, that *Iesus Christ was the Author, and Ordainer of it*, but that proves not; That it was to be of perpetual continuance. Nor thy *Second Reason*, for though, *the Disciples were bid doe it, in remembrance of him, they were not bid doe it alwayes*: Neither will, *Act. 2. ver. 42. which thou bringest as a Third Proofo*, serve thy turn, for by comparing it vvith *Verse 46. it is evident, that their breaking of bread was their ordinary eating, for it is said they continued daily with one accord in the Temple, and breaking bread from house to house, did eat their meat with gladness and singleness of heart, so that this was a daily eating, from house to house, and not at all such an eating, as yours is, which you have but once, or twice, or thrice in a year, or at such set tymes, as you appoint to*
your

your selves; whereas theirs was an eating from house to house, wherein they received food sufficient to their bodily nourishment: your eating is not so: You will not have your Sacramental Bread and Wine, so called, to be used in private Houses, or, Families, and your eating, is rather a mock-eating, vvherein you doe not eat, that which is sufficient to the Bodily nourishment (as these did *Act. 2. 42. 46.*) every one of you taking a little bread about the quantity of a Beane, vvherein you have no example from the Saints, but rather from the *Papists*, vvho have their vvasers.

Againe, this eating mentioned *Acts. 2. ver. 42. 46.* is conjoined with this, *that they sold their possessions, and had all things in common*, and so they did eat together daily in common, which is not like your eating; Now if you would make their example, and and practise, your Rule, why doe yee not sell your possessions, as they did, and have things in common? also why doe yee not abstaine from eating blood, and things strangled, as they did? and why doe yee not wash one anothers feet, which they were as solemnly commanded to doe, as to take and eat, &c: *1oh. 13. 14. 15.* If you say, these things were but to continue for a time, what ground have yee to affirme, that these were not alwayes to continue, and those of Water-Baptisme and breaking bread were to be alwayes continued; For a Fourth Reason thou sayest *Paul recommended the practise of this to the Church of Corinth. Cor. 11. 23.*

Answe. that hee recommended it unto them by way of command, wee deny, for hee delivered unto them no command to practise it, but that which hee delivered unto them was the Relation of the matter of fact as what the Lord did in the night, wherein hee vvvas betrayed; Thou sayest, *The Apostle doth not only here relate the matter of fact, but like wise warrants the frequent use of this ordinance*: it is one thing to warrant the use of it and farre another to command the use of it, wee doe not deny but the use of it, vvvas lawfull, and vvarrantable at that tyme, but vvee say, it vvvas not commanded unto them, but left, or permitted to them, as these vvords import, as often as yee eat, &c. and againe, *let a man examine himselfe and so let him eat*; the vvords imply no command, but only that they vvvere in the use, or practise of it, and being therein hee gives them direction, how they might use it, so as not to receive hurt there by

Now that the *Corinthians* were weak in many things, and did many things by permission, is clear by the whole straine of that *Epistle* to them. For a *Fifth Reason*; thou sayest, thou readest not in *Scripture*, where *Christ*, and his *Apostles* did abolish it, Answer: if it were so, that then there was no absolute need, for the very institution intimates the abolishing thereof at *Christ* his coming, as to any necessity by way of command, though afterwards it might have beene used by permission, being gradually to passe away, as did other things, for *Circumcision*, was abolished by the coming of *Christ*; yet it was used after his coming, together with divers other *Ievvish ceremonies*; But as concerning the abolishing or ending of it see 1. Cor. 10. 15. 16. 17. I speake as unto wise men, judge yee what I say, the cup of blessing vvhich yee blesse, is it not the communion of the blood of *Christ*? the bread vvhich yee breake is it not the communion of the body of *Christ*? And then hee proceeds to shew, what that bread was, for (saith hee) yee being many are one bread. Now what is that one bread? is it the outward, or is it the inward and spiritual? if it be the outward, then there is no inward and spirituall bread, Or if it be the inward, and spirituall, which is that one bread, then that outward bread, (as being, but a figure) is ceased from being of use, as to any necessity, and this hee spoke unto the wise who saw beyond the shadow, and figure, unto the substance, the end of it; which was that heavenly bread and refreshment, which *Christ* himselfe giveth unto these souls to feed upon, who know the mystery of his indwelling in them; which bread is indeed his body. So that now the bread being one, which is the body of *Christ* the outward bread hath no place in the supper of the Lord; for then there should be not one bread, but two: for the outward bread, and the inward are two, and not one bread. and if any say, the outward bread, though it be not properly the body of *Christ* and thing signified, yet it may be said to be one vvith it, because of that agreement, betwixt the sign, and the thing signified.

I answer, that is not sufficient, vvhy the outward bread should be called the one bread, or one vvith the thing signified, othervvise by the same evasion one might plead for the continuance of all the *Sacrifices* and offerings, of *Rams*, and *Bulls* and *Goats*, and say they are one vvith that one offering of *Christ*, mentioned *Hebr.* 10. 14. because they signified that one offering: Now were not this an abominable

wresting of the *Apostles words*, to say, all these outward offerings were the one offering, because they did signify it? For indeed hee does contradistinguish them, from this one offering, that because of its being come, hee inferrs they were to passe away. And so it is as plaine that the Apostle contradistinguished, betwixt that one bread and the outward bread, together with the other Figures, and shadowes, according to which, writing to the Colossians he saith Coloss. 2. 16. 17. *let no man condemne you in meat, or drink or holy day, or New moone, or Sabbath days which are a shadow of things to come, but the body is of Christ.* And hee bids them seeke the things above, and not the things, which the Apostle said, *did perish in the using, saying touch not, tast not handle not.* Coloss. 2. 10. 21. 22. Compared with Coloss. 3. 1. 2. which hee spoke, because they began to lay too great a weight upon these things, and to hold them up as perpetuall, which were to passe away: for a *Sixth Reason*, thou sayest, the *Apostles, and Primitive Christians who did partake of the Spirit in a large measure did use it.* Ans. that they used it for some tyme is granted, but that they used it as of necessity, or command, is denyed, nor did they use it for themselves, but for the sake of the weake who could not be suddenly weaned from it. Thy *Seventh Reason*, is, *that it is the mind, and will of God, that this ordinance should be continued in his Church untill the second coming of Christ to judgement.* By which *Second coming* thou, and you, understand his outward coming for which you have no ground, to say, that hee bid them observe it, till his outward coming so many hundred years after: for the Scripture speaks nothing so, but thus — *ye shew forth my death, till I come: now wee say, hee did come according to his promise in a Spirituall and inward way of appearance in their hearts, feeding them, with the heavenly food, and refreshment of his owne life and, Spirit which is the substance, and concerning this coming hee spoke unto them, in many places, particularly John. 14. 18. I will not leave you fatherlesse, I will come unto you. Yet a little while, and the world, seeth me not, but ye shall see me: and ver. 23. If any man love me, hee will keep my word, and my Father will love him, and wee will come unto him, and make out abode with him: which coming was inward; according to vers. 20. you in me, and I in you.* And those that witnessed him thus come, needed not outward bread and wine to remember them of him, for his owne Spirit would

would bring all things to their remembrance : they need not looke upon the *figure* and *shadow*, who have the *Substance*: Paul said, we looke not upon things, which are visible neither will Gods condescendence to their weaknesse, who were but newly redeemed from out of a masse of heathenish *superstitions*, prove a command, or a rule to the whole *Church*, or a warrant for any, now to be found in the *Administration* thereof; & to hold up the outward *figure*, doe cloake themselves, by shutting out, , and denying the Spirituall appearance of *Christ*, as hee doth immediatly reveal himselfe in his children, in whom he has made manifest, the substance, which ends the shadow. For an *Eighth Reason* thou sayest, that persons vvho cast off this ordinance, are their soules great enemies, for they stand in the vvay of their soules Spirituall good, in that this is a Spirituall nourishing, strengthening ordinance, where Spirituall food is offered, and delicate meat and drink, for strengthening believers, in their journey to heaven; To vvhich I answer, that those vvho neglect and despise having fellowship and communion vvith God, and laugh and scoffe at the usefull, and necessary duty of vvaiting upon the Lord in silence, vvherein his children teele their soules nourished with the *Body*, and *blood* of *Christ*, and with Spirituall *Manna* which descends from heaven, and is distilled into their soules; not only, once, or twice a year (which are the seasons, wherein that which thou termst Spirituall food, is ministred among you) but daily, and hourly, by the fresh *incoms* of life such indeed are to their souls, great enemies, though they be sticking to the performance of some externall ceremonies wherein in former times, God (in condescendence to some, becaus of the simplicitie of their hearts) appeared, and yet even then frequently, as much, and more, at other tymes. But now the *Sun* is set upon those who will needs be upholding the *shadow*, in opposition, to the *substance*: therefore their table is become polluted, and may more truely be termed the table of devils, then the communion of the *Body* of *Christ*, where a mixt multitude of all sorts of wicked persons, living out of Gods fear, sit downe together, being seemingly in words excommunicated from approaching, by the *Preacher*, and yet presently admitted to it, by the same: and to turne away from such an *Ordinance* so called, is no sin, nor hurt, but all who become obedient to the *light* of *Christ* in them, will find it their place to forsake it, as being such an ordinance, vvhich the

Apostle said, touch not, tast not, handle not, vvhich is all to perish vvith the using.

In the fourth place Pag: 41: thou vvilt prove, that the ministerie of the vvord is an ordinance of Iesus Christ: becaus first Christ, appointed Ministers and Pastors to be in his Church; But this cannot be asserted in opposition to the Quakers, vvho grant the same. And vvhy citest thou, Eph. 5. 11. and. 1. for. 12. 8. which if they prove the continuance of Pastors, and teachers, prove also the continuance of Prophets, Evangelists, and Apostles, vvhich yee deny. As to the second Reason that the Ministerie is not common to all, but that there be some Pastors and teachers, is also ovvned by us: Yet that hinders not, but that any at a time may speake, vvhen the Saints are mett together, as the Lord mooves by his Spirit, according to 1. Cor. 14. 31. for it is one thing to be particularly called to the Ministerie, and another to be moved to speake at a particular time: vvhich distinction that it vvvas usuall among the Apostles in the Primitive times is easilie observed, in the forenamed Chapter. For a Third Reason, thou sayest, whom God calleth to the Ministerie, he doth it either immediately, vvithout the intervention of men, or mediately by men authorised for that purpose: but for this, thou bringst no proote neither art thou able to make out, that ever God called any under the nev्व covenant so mediately to their Ministerie by men, as they vvvere not to have an immediate call in themselves: Though the approbation of good and experienced men, in its place, is not denied by us, but dearly ovvned. Fourthly thou sayest, who ever pretends to an immediate call they ought for the satisfaction of others to shew signes, and tokens of their Apostleship. to which I answer. That those who come preaching the Gospell not in speech only, but also in Power, and in the holy Ghost, and in the evidence and demonstration thereof: As it is. 1. Thess. 15. and. 1. Cor. 2. 4. give sufficient proote that they are called of God, though they come not vvith outvvard miracles. And though Paul came to some vvith miracles, vvhere hee preached the Gospell, yet many beleived, vvho savv no outvvard miracle. Also many of the Prophets vvrought no miracle, nor Iohn the Baptist. And though some miraculous things came to passe about his Conception and birth, those doe not of themselves prove him to be a Prophet, for miraculous things, & miracles vvvere vvrought upon many, vvho vvvere no prophets. If Miracles be necessarie to evince a man sent of God, hee must come vvith

these Miracles, before the people, vvhich *Iohn*, did not, nor did *Ionas* come vvith any Miracle to convince the *Ninivites*, but simply declared his message. And *Iohn Calvin* asserteth that there is no need of Miracles, and yet hee maintaineth, that in his day, *God* raised up *Apostles*, or *Evangelists*, saying, that it vvvas needfull such should be, to bring back the poor people, that had gone astray after *Antichrist*, *Lib. 4. Chap. 3. Inst.* Neither did any *Protestants* pretend to doe any Miracles, they pleading against the *Papists*, that there was no absolute need of any in respect they preached not a new Gospel, but that which was already confirmed with miracles by *Christ*, and his *Apostles*. And so thy plea against us here, is the same, that vvvas urged by the *Papists*, against the primitive protestants. An evill and adulterous generation, said *Christ*, seeketh after miracles; and though Miracles should be given, they who will not beleive, the testimony of the Spirit of *God* in their consciences, bearing witness to the truth, will not also beleive, becaus of Miracles, as wee see plainly in the *Iewes*. And whereas thou sayest, *Iohns* immediate call is evident by the special predictions both of *Malachy*, and *Isayas* concerning him. So are there many special predictions, concerning the Lord his pouring forth of his Spirit upon many in these latter dayes, to prophecy or minister, as the Spirit should putt words into their mouths, And as for these *Scriptures Tit. 1. 5. Asl. 14. 23.* which thou bringst in the Fifth place they prove not, that those *Elders* had not the Authority and call of the Spirit of *God* in themselves. And whereas in the Sixth place thou sayest, though *Ministers* be set apart, and ordained by men, yet their Ministry is not from men, but from *God*, I answer; where the inward call and Authority of the Spirit of *God* is not witnessed, it cannot be said to be of *God*. And though *Moses* be said to consecrate *Aaron*, yet it doth not follow that *Aaron*, had no immediat call from *God*. Seventhly, thou sayest, The ministry is so necessary, that it is the will of *Iesus Christ*, that it should continue unto the end of the *VVorld*, *Eph. 5. 12. 13.* But thy prooffe from that Scripture is altogether impertinent as to you, vvho believe not, that the *Saints* can be perfected in this life, seeing the Ministry is given for the perfecting of them. And that this perfection is on Earth, is clear, from the following verse, That hence forth, wee be no more as *Children* tossed to and fro, for in the other life, there is no hazard of being so tossed. And if the Ministry perfected not men

men in this life, it no where perfecteth them, for In the other life it hath no operation upon them. The *Law*, and *Priesthood* thereof was abolished, because it made nothing perfect, and if the *Gospell Ministry* should not make perfect it should also be abolished. And seeing your *Ministrie* perfecteth not, it is not the true *Ministrie* of the *Gospell*; as indeed it is not, for it standeth not in the power of God, nor is it exercised in the will & motion of God, your *Ministrie* being such, that the whole ESSE, or BEING of it, may be, without saving, grace: or true holines, you expressly affirming that holines is not necessary, to the being of a *Minister* but that a man may be a *Minister* of the *Gospell*, who ought to be received, and heard, though hee have not the least graine of holines. Eighthly thou sayest, *they who cast off the Ministerie of the word, wrong their owne soules, &c.* Ansv. if it be understood of the *Ministry* of *Christ*, it is granted, but of yours, it is denied.

In the Fifth place Pag. 44: Thou wouldest prove that the *Lords people*, are under a *tye*, & engagement to keep the first day of the weeke for a *Sabbath* For a First Reason, thou sayest, the Fourth commandement requires the keeping holy of one day of seven; but as it requires the observation of one day of seven, so it expressly instanteth that day to be the seventh, vvchich day yee keep not: vvherfor as to the Second Reason, if the command, be everall and perpetuall, as thou callest it, it ought to be kept in every point of it; vvchich yee not doing, therein condemne yourselves, but the outvvard *Sabbath* or the keeping one day of the vvceke, for a *Sabbath*, is not perpetuall, but abolished together vvith the new moone, and other feasts, of the *Jewves*. see *Coloss.* 2. 16. 17. *Let no man judge you; in meat, or drink, or holy day, or new moone, or sabbath dayes which are a shadow of things to come.* See also *Rom.* 14. vvchich plainly holds forth all dayes under the *Gospel* to be alike, and said *Paul* to the *Galathians*, *yee observe dayes*, &c. I am afrajd of you. For a Third Reason, thou sayest, that *Iesus Christ* plainly intimates the continuance of a *Sabbath*, because that speaking of the desolation of *Ierusalem*, he said, *peape that your flight be not in the winter nor on the Sabbath day*, Answer, but that *Sabbath day*, is neither here nor else vvhere said to be the first day of the vvceke. The *Jewves* vvere to fly at that time and *Christ* holds forth their difficulties, that it should be grievous unto them, to be put to it, to fly on their *Sabbath day*, or be killed. For they kept it in the strictnesse of it, but as for any of your *Sabbath keepers* they are

not so strait-faced, but they will doe lesse necessary things, then to fly from a danger on that day. And as the outward Jew, desireth, that hee may not be putt to fly, on his outward Sabbath, so the inward Jew, in Spirit, desireth much more that hee may keep his Sabbath, which is his Spirituall rest in Christ, that the enemy oft seeketh to breake, to cause him to fly on his Sabbath day, but this to you is a Mistry. Viz. what the Sabbath, of them who beleeve, is Heb. 4. 9. 10. *There remaineth therfor a Sabbathism, to the people of God, and hee, that is entred into his rest hath ceased from his owne works, as God did from his.*

And that this Sabbath, or rest is not an outward day, is plaine, becaus in the next verse hee saith let us labour therefore to enter into that rest. But if it were an outward day it might be easily entred into, but this is such a rest as none can enter into; who hearken not to the voice of the Lord, by beleeiving and obeying it. For a Fourth Reason, thou sayest, though yee keep not the same day the Iewes did, yee have the same authority for keeping your day, that they had for theirs. Hence this day, that wee keep (sayest thou) is called the Lords day Rev. 1. 10. it being set apart by the Lord for his service, and as a Special memorial of his Resurrection, Answ. but for all this, here is no probation at all, but meer assertions. If yee have the same Authority, produce it, and let us see it. Iohn was in the Spirit on the Lords day, therfor the first day of the week ought to be kept, how hangs this together? Prove that Iohn meant the first day of the week: wee read much in Scripture, of the day of the Lord, which is the Lords day, but no where doe find it called the first day of the week, or any other naturall day. For it is spirituall, and as God called the naturall light, day, so hee calleth the Spirituall light of his appearance (where the Sun of righteousness ariseth with healing under his wings) Day. And this is the day of the Lord, wherein his people rejoyce, and are glad. And whereas thou sayest, it is set apart by the Lord, as a Speciall memoriall of his resurrection. This is thy naked assertion, without any shadow of prooffe & if thou wilt say, that therefore it is to be a holy day, becaus hee rose on it. Is not this a faire inlet to all the Popish holy Dayes? If yee keep one day for his Resurrection, why not one day for his Conception, another for his Birth, another for the Annunciation of the Ange, another for his being crucified, another for his Ascension? & then wee shall not want holy dayes in good store. Visibly thou sayest. *who oppose the Sabbath day, sin against mercy,*
and

and equity, and Justice. Answer. It is granted, but who oppose your day which yee have made, or imagined, to be the Sabbath, doe not sin against any of the fore-said, if in other things they keep unto the rule of mercy, and justice. First they sin not against mercy, if through all the dayes of the week, they be found in that, which is for the good of themselves, and their neighbours. Not laying too heauey burdens, upon their owne soules, by excessive care and labour in outward things nor yet forcing their bodily strength, beyond the rule of *mercy and love*, nor imposing any things upon either servants, or cattell, contrary to *mercy*. For if the Law required *mercy*, even in these things, much more the Gospel, so that wee grant, times of rest are to be given unto *Servants*, and *Beasts*, and Mercy is to be shewed unto them, more then under the Law. And thus is the end of the Sabbath answered, which was made for man: yea, this is indeed to keep the Sabbath. To undoe every burden, and to let the oppressed goe free, both as to the inward, and the outward. And the Lords People have frequent times, more then once a week, wherein, laying aside their outward affairs for a season, they may and doe meet together, to wait upon the Lord, and be quickned; and refreshed, and instructed by him, and worship him in his Spirit. And may be useful unto one another, in *exhortation*, or *admonition*, or any other way, as the Lord shall furnish; And such who find any distemper upon their minds, through letting them goe forth too much upon outward things, may find the Lord allowing them any other day, or time, no lesse then that, to gett their hearts reduced into a right frame. And it were sad, if the Lord had only allowed, but one day of seven, unto this effect. The Lord inviteth and alloweth the weary, and distempered, (who love to be cured of their distempers) to come unto him every day: And as for those, who abide not in a due care every day, to have their hearts ordered arights, but let their minds goe forth excessively in outward occasions, all the week, they provoke the Lord, to shut them out from access to him, upon the First day. And our soules doe oft blesse the Lord in allowing us many times of refreshment, and strengthening, to the establishing and confirming us in his love and life, and disburdening our minds of earthly things, much more frequently, then in one day of seven. And as for sinning against Justice, they cannot be charged with it, who

give up unto the Lord, not onely one day of seven, but all the seven, even all the dayes of their life unto his service: for equity and Justice calleth upon us to spend all the seven in his service; that our hearts may continually be exercised in his fear, and love, and what ever wee doe; wee may doe, it to him, and in him. And as for the *first day of the week*, we meet together even on that day, (as wee doe on other dayes) according to the practise of the primitive Christians, to wait upon the Lord, and worship him, but to plead so obstinately as yee doe, that the *fourth Commandement*, bindeth to a particular observation of that day, and yet to be found so slack in the observation of it, as you generally are, is such an inconstancie as the *Quakers* cannot owne. And so whereas thou wouldst confine the Lord his giving rest, and comfort to the *soule* of his people, and the falling of the *Manna*, to the *first dayes*, calling them *spirituall market dayes*, as if there were no other, wee cannot owne it, knowing that the Lord giveth rest and comfort, every day, and causeth the *Manna* plentifully to fall every day, to those that walk in his fear, and wait upon him; and hee has no such circumscribed Market day as thou dreamest of, but that yee, (I meane the Priests) make a Market day, of that day. (So that yee may call it *your day*, as thou sayest Pag. 44. *old day*) wee know, wherein you sell, and vend your *Babylonish commodities*, and will be forcing, and compelling, all to come, and buy of them, or if not, to send you money, whither they receive ought, or not; or else yee will endeavour by the help of the *Magistrate* to have them punished. So that it is made manifest, that it is only the inventions of men, that wee disowne; and not any of the *ordinances of Iesus Christ*.

Pag. 46. Thou grantest the word, *Originall sin*, is not found in Scripture and yet thou pleadst for it, because, sayest thou, the thing intended by it, is contained, and expressed in Scripture. Answer, wee deny, that the thing by you intended, is exprest in Scripture, to wit, that all infants are sinners before God; only for *Adams sin*, and that there are reprobate Infants, who are sent to hell only for *Adams first sin*: this wee deny; nor doe the Scripture cited by thee prove it, *Psal. 51. be bold I was conceived in sin*. But first, if this place should prove the Infant guilty of any sin, it should be, of the sin of its owne immediate parents, in iniquity did my mother bring me forth. Now you say, the in-

infant is not guilty of the sin of its ovne immediate parents, but only of *Adams*, and *Eve*'s first sin: of vvhich, this Scripture speakes nothing. 2. it doeth not say, I was conceived and brought forth a sinner, as you vvould have it; vvhy make you infants guilty of *Adams* sin, and not of the sins of their immediate parents? Now it is granted, that there is a seed of sin, derived unto *Adams* posterity but wee say, none become guilty of sin before God, until they close vvith this evil seed: and in them, who close vvith it, it becomes an *origine*, or fountaine of evil thoughts, desires, words and actions, which are their sins vvho close vvith it. But that the guilt of *Adams* first sin lyes at the door of *Infants*, who never actually sinned, wee deny. For a *Second Prooff*, thou citest *Rom. 5. 12. Alleging it should be rendered, that in Adam all sinned.* But it is no such matter: For the words, however they be truly translated, can never be so rendered in *Adam* all sinned: The strictest translation of the words is thus, [upon which all have sinned, or in vvhich all have sinned] Now, if the words be translated, [Upon vvhich all have sinned.] They hold forth, howe that *Adam*, by his sin gave an entrance to Sin in the World, and Death by Sin, and so upon this occasion, all others, have sinned, to vvits actually in their ovvne Persons, so that all vvho ever sinned actually, it was upon the occasion of *Adams* sin. For the *Apostle* is here speaking, not of *Infants*, who are not capable of any *Law*, but of such, as have a *Law*, and act against it. Yea from the *Apostles* words in the other following Verse, it is plaine, that sin is not imputed to *Infants*. For saith hee, Sin is not imputed, where there is no *Law*. Now there is no *Law* given to *Infants* as such. For they are not capable of it. What the *Law* sayeth, it sayeth to them, vvho have in more or lesse some exercise of understanding, which *Infants* new borne, have not, or, if the words be translated, [in vvhich all have sinned] that word *VVHICH* hath a nearer relative then *ADAM*, to vviz, *Death*, for the seed of sin, is justly called *Death*, because where it is joined unto, and obeyed, it killeth: and so in this seed all have sinned, vvho ever did actually sin; and as for the verse 18, of *Rom. 5.* which is commonly used to prove infants guiltie, and under condemnation, it is not rightly translated for the word judgement, or condemnation, or guilt, is not at all in the Greek, but those, vvho have drunk in this imagination, have added this word, to the Scripture so bending

and

and bowing the Scripture to their false opinion. And whereas thou sayest. *wee were all in the loins of Adam*, and therefore wouldest inferre, *that infants are sinners in him, or guiltie of his sin*. I say, It followes not, more then to say, wee are guiltie of all the sins of our Fore-fathers, becaus wee have beene in their loins; again thou labourest to prove that *Infants* are sinners becaus they are subject to pains, and diseases, and death. But this proveth them not to be sinners, as it proveth not that the *Earth* is a Sinner, or that the *Herbs*, and *Trees* of the field are sinners, for even these things have suffred, by *Adams* fall, a great decay: And as for the *outward Death* of those that are saved from *eternal Death*, it is rather a *sleep*, then a *Death*, as *Christ* said, concerning *Lazarus*, *hee sleepeeth*; and concerning the *Maid*, *she is not Dead, but sleepeeth*. And therefore, that Scripture, *Rom. 6: 23.* cannot be applyed, to them, who dye not, or perish not eternally: for though the *Saints* lay downe the outward man, it is not as the punishment or reward of their Sins, which are forgiven, and from which they are delivered. And so the *sting of Death*, being taken away, in those who are saved, it is not *that Death, which is the wages of Sin*, and seeing the *Apostle* said unto the *Saints*, that all things were theirs, even *Death*, it cannot be that their *Death* should be reckoned the wages of their Sin, how many of the blessed *Martyrs* have looked upon their suffering a most violent *Death*, for truth, and righteousness as a *Gift of God*? How then could it be said to be the wages of their Sins; which imple as if their Sins were not all freely forgiven?

Page. 48. From this doctrine, thou sayest; it will follow, First, that all *Infants*, that die in their infancy, are saved, and though charity may be pleaded for this opinion, (thou sayest) yet what Scripture can be alledged for it? Answ. If, I should bring that Scripture, *Suffer little Children to come unto me, for of such is the Kingdome of Heaven*, It will much more naturally flow from the words, then that they ought to be sprinkled, which is the meaning yee put upon them. And whereas some object, it is not said of them, but of such. I answer, but that such includeth them, and all others, who are like them, in harmlesnesse: otherwise if they had beene excluded hee would not have given it as a reason, why hee bid suffer them to come unto him: but besides, the 18. Chap. ver. 20. of *Ezekiel*, is a plaine prooffe, The soul that

that *sinners* shall die, the Son, shall not bear the fathers iniquities: unlesse that the Son be found acting the same iniquity, and continuing in it; for then *bee* visus the iniquities of the Father, upon the Children. Now thou hast produced no Scripture to prove, that any infants doe perish, and indeed there is nothing in Scripture for it, but against it. Secondly thou sayest, it would follow, that infants dying in their infancy, stood not in need of Christ, as a Saviour; for *hee* is a saviour to save his People from their sinners. Answ. Hee is a Saviour not only to save from sins, but also from the consequences of sin, and not only from the fruits and branches of it, but from the seed: and they are saved from sin, who are not suffered to fall into it. And so these infants, whom the Lord takes away in their infancy, that they might not sin, are saved from it. It is salvation, to be kept from falling into a pit, as truly, as to be taken out of it, after the falling in. And as for that Scripture, it maketh against you, Math. 1. 12. For it speaketh of a salvation from sin, whereas you dreame of a salvation in your sins: Nor doeth Rom. 7. 24. Speake of infants, so thy citing it here is impertinent. And though there be a time, wherein there is a crying out for deliverance from the body of sin, and death, yet there is also a time of deliverance from it; even before the laying downe of the outward body, as is plaine from Rom. 6. 6. 7. Knowing this that the old man is crucified, and *hee* that is dead, is freed from sin. Yet wee acknowledge there is great occasion to be low, and to be in great feare, and care, lest sin, which is once crucified, revive againe.

Page 48. Thou chargest us, as holding a falling away from Regeneration, and as agreeing therein with Arminians. But if the Arminians hold a falling away from Regeneration, wee hold no such matter. For those who fall away, never attained unto the Regeneration, and so were never the children of God, but only were in the way to it, by having attained to some beginnings of Faith, from which some way, and have fallen away, for that it is expressly said by Christ, some beleive, and afterwards fall away: and some depart from the faith, and make shipwreck of it, and some, who have tasted the good word of God, and the powers of the world to come fall away. These and many such instances are in Scripture, nor do the Scriptures cited by thee prove the contrary: as Philip. 1. 6. which is to be understood no otherwise, then as the condition is performed upon their part. As Heb. 3. 14. *wee* are made partakers of

Christ, if wee hold fast the principle of our establishment, (or whereby wee are established) firme unto the end; and so these, who hold fast this Principle, witness the work, which God hath begun in them, to be carried on untill the day of Christ; eventill hee be completely formed in them, and they in him. It may be supposed, that Paul was as confident that God would perfect the Work begun in himselfe, and yet hee supposeth, it might be otherwise, where he sayeth *least preaching the Gospel to others, I my selfe become a cast away*. And though some fall away, the dishonour of the foolish builder cannot be cast upon God, but upon them, who fall away: for it standeth very well with the wisdom, and power of God, to suffer them to fall away, who knowingly, and wilfully depart from the Lord, and will not concurre, with him in the work, as subordinate Instruments, but resist him though hee invite, and call, yea draw them; The next thou citest, is. 1. Peter. 19. Answ. Such as are so kept by the power of God, it is through Faith, but as they abide not in that power, through Faith, but wander from it, they fall, and cannot but fall away. And as for Ierem. 32. 40. cited by thee, it should be translated thus, *I will put my fear into their hearts, that they may not depart from me*, so Junius and Trammellus version; or not to depart from me, as the Septuagint hath it. Now to say, *that they may, not depart*, is one thing, and to say, *they cannot depart*, is another. Yet where the fear of God comes so to be raised and established in the heart, over all; wee beleive such cannot depart, but every one, is not attained to that State, where yet the fear of God may have some place. And as touching these other Scriptures, Ioh. 10. 27. 28. 29. And Iohn. 13. 1. and. 1. Iohn. 2. 19. they speake of those, who are come to a through Regeneration; who (wee doe beleive) can never fall away; as being begot into the perfect Nature of the elect sheep and Children. Nor doth it follow, from this that one may be a Child of God to day, and a Child of the divell to morrow, for these, who are once, properly the children of God through a true and through-regeneration, can never become the children of the divel, nor be call out of Gods speciall love, that hee beareth to his owne children. For to end this matter, thou sayest it is safer to question the truth of the graces of those that fall away, then the doctrine of the perseverance of the Saints. But dost thou looke upon the Quakers, as having fallen away? if thou dost, how comes it, that thou bespeakest them in thy Epistle, as those, who

who have had reall Grace, saying to them, did yee attaine to that knowledge of, and acquaintance with God, which yee have, in the use of ordinances? and againe, yee did run well, who did hinder you? And againe, why should they asperse these ordinances, which have beene the means of their conversion? Or are these words only a *loab* kisse, by vvhich thou wouldest kisse the *Quakers*, vvhile in the meane tyme, thou hast a sword hid under thy cloake to strike them thorough, under the fifth rib. But the *Quakers* are avvare of thee, and having on the armour of God, are out of thy reach.

In the last place. *Pag. 50.* thou undertakest to prove, that our errors (as thou callest them) *tend to irreligiousnes, and Atheism*; becaus they tend to take avvay the vvorship due to God, but it hath beene heretofore proved, that vvee deny not true *Vvorship*, but only your *idolatrous superstitious worships*, vvhich cannot truly be called the *Vvorship of God*. Our vvay (thou sayest) *tends to irreligiousnes*; becaus frequently, *wee goe to meat, and come from it*, vvithout seeking a blessing, or returning *thanks*; which is to deny God a part of that Worship, vvhich is due to him. *1. Tim. 4. 4. 5.* Ansvv. to receive the gifts, and benefits of God, vvith thanks giving and to vvitnes it blessed, and *sanctified to us, by the word, and prayer*, is owned by us: and to knowv this-so, vvithout taking off the hat, or using of formall speaking of vvords, (though it be a thing frequently used by us also) tends to no irreligiousnes: for it is a thing usuall among us, vvhen vvee sit downe to eat, to vvait upon the Lord, *for some time* that vvee may feel his presence, and knowv our selves stated in his fear, to vvhich the blessing is, and as vvee there stand, if any outvvard expressions, be required of any, then in *Gods fear*, they may utter them; and this is to knowv, the blessing indeed, and to be in the place, that is blessed. But for people that are conversing, out of Gods fear, stated in a Light airy Spirit, not only many tymes laughing and scoffing, but some times even blaspheming, presently so soone as the meat cometh, to clap off their hats, and speake a fevv vvords in a custome, and so soone, as they have done, fall to their former vvork againe: is not this Atheisme, and irreligiousnes? for if such did think of God aright, and knowv, vvhat it vvore to fear him, they vvould be far from addressing themselves in such *Postures* unto him, neither could they be so impudent, as to expect a blessing

sing from him, while they stand in that condition, to which the curse is annexed.

In the second place. *Pag. 51. Thou sayest, Doth not the taking men off from prayer, tend to Irreligiousnes, and Atheisme? Now you teach, wee must not pray in private, nor in families, without an impulse therefore.* Answ. This is no sound argument; to take men off from prayer, tends to irreligiousnes, is granted; but to say, that a man cannot, nor ought not to pray, without the Spirits drawing, and motion which you commonly name by impulse (a word, which common people doe not understand) hath no such tendency, or, that it takes any off from prayer, truly so called is denied. For hath that a bad tendencie which take's men off from such *Prayers*, as are abomination, & are not true *Prayers*, but *hypocritical*, and deceitful? As all such *Prayers* are that are performed without the help of the Spirit. Wee say, whosoever can pray to the Lord indeed, let them pray, wee are not to forbid them, but, that any can pray without the Spirit that wee deny, according to 1. Cor. 14. 15. *I will pray with the Spirit, &c.* And Rom. 8. 26. likewise also, *The Spirit helpeth your infirmities, for wee know not, what wee should pray for, as wee ought:* Now if wee know not, what to pray for, without the Spirit, how can wee pray without it? Paul durst not adventure upon this duty, without the assistance of the Spirit (yea, hee said, no man could say, that Jesus is the Lord, but by the *holy Ghost*) but here, an arrogant generation will needs be praying without it, which yet is not *Prayer*, and such families where this only is used, cannot be truly said to call upon God, while such truely may be said so to doe, that wait upon the Lord, and stand in his fear, and bring forth the fruits of righteousness, though they be not, so much in the external signification of words, which also at times is found in our families, as the Lord requireth it, and giveth utterance. And where-as thou sayest, *That thou beleevest it will be found, that some of us, for the space of a whole Year have not so much, as once bowed a knee to call upon God in their families.* What ground hast thou, for this thy beleeve? May they not bow their knees in their families, though it be hid from the observation of malicious eyes, who may so asperse them? May they not pray in secret, and be seene of the Father to pray. according to Matth. 6. 6. Though they cannot be seene by the eyes of malicious Spyes? And where a publick testimony in words is required, it is also

also given, nor doe wee know any friends of truth, who have any, whom they can joine with in Prayer, in the family, but doe meet together in the family, and wait together, breath together, and pray together, and that much oftener, then thou insinuat, sometimes without, and sometimes with the outward signification of words, so that wee returne this thy charge as false and malicious. Thou sayest, *If this impulse be denied for Years, men all that while (according to us) must not pray.* But here thou speakest as one, wholly unacquainted with the wayes, and motions of the *Spirit*, to suppose such a case, which cannot be; for the breathings, and motions of the *Spirit*, and especially unto Prayer, are very frequent, unto those who wait for them, and are as necessary unto the *Children of God*, as their dayly bread, yea and more, which the Father withholdeth not, but giveth in due season. But many times, the *Spirit of Prayer*, is felt to move, and is answered, when there is no liberty given, to speake words, in the hearing of others; nor is thy other supposition lesse vaine, and foolish, that *if a man, were at the gates of death, and in danger of present drowning, yet without an impulse; (as thou callest it) hee must not adventure to cry to God for mercy, and help; for suppose he did cry, without all help of the Spirit, what would it avail him, would it have any acceptance with God? Shew us, vvherever a spiritlesse prayer, vvas accepted of God, or required: Nay, it is a vaine oblation, vvhich is expressely forbidden, and it is expressely commanded, that praying be alvvayes in the spirit. Eph. 6. 18. And as for the Saints, vvhen they are dying, or in any difficulty, wee know, the Spirit of prayer will never be wanting, to breath through them, at such occasions; and to give words, as there is a service for them.*

But further, thou alledgedst, *that this Principle of ours, leadeth to woefull security, for what need you be disquieted, for refraining prayer before God (thou sayest) or any other piece of service, seeing you have salvation at hand to heal this sore, and that is, the want of an impulse.* Answ. If any fall into security and refraine prayer, it is not, that our Principle leadeth into it; for our Principle leadeth out of all security, into continuall watching unto prayer, and wating upon the motions of the *Spirit of God*; now if any feel not these motions, they are nothing the lesse guilty, becaus by their neglect, they provoke the Lord to withhold them, and render themselves out of frame to feel, or en-

certaine them, and thus who neglect the *worship of God*, are justly under condemnation; and if they have peace, it is but a false peace, which will faile them; and as for our peace, wee have found it, to be great peace, but wee have not come by it, after such a way, as thou doest falsely, and rashly judge: as by neglecting the *worship of God*, and stopping the mouth of conscience, but by being turned to that *living Word and Law of God* in our hearts, by loving it, and cleaving to it yea by receiving the reproofes & chastisements of God through it, and submitting to the judgement of it, when it hath beene as a *hammer*, and as a *sword*, and as a *fire* in us, *breaking in pieces*, and *destroying all that false unsound peace, wee had created to our selves*; in the day of our alienation, *from the light of God in us*. And unto peace wee are come, through great tribulation of soule, even such, as thou art a stranger unto, being ignorant both of the one, and the other, and so hast therein shewed thy folly in judging what thou knowest not. And as for woefull security, wee know not, where it more abounds, then among hypocriticall professors, who, with the *VV*ord in the *Proverbs*, offer up their sacrifices of morning and evening prayers, and thereby create a peace to themselves, though they let their hearts goe a whoring after their lusts all the day: did not the *Pharisees* pray much outwardly, and were much in other outward practises of devotion, and so created a false peace, and esteeme unto themselves? And can you deny, but that there are many such among you, who make up a false peace, to themselves by leaning upon their outward performances? Now what If I should charge this upon your Principle wouldst thou think it fair dealing.

Thirdly, Pag. 52. Thou sayest, *Woe not that opinion, tend to Atheisme, which rendreth mortification of sin (even in this life) useles, &c.* Answ. Here thou dealest disingenuously. Is mortification of sin uselesse, where the end of it, is attained? And is not perfection the end of mortification? Against thou sayest, *The opinion of a sinles perfection, wounds the very vitals of Religion?* Answ. Who could have expected, that one, that pretends to Religion, would have beene so brazen-faced, as to put such an expression in print? What is the end of true Religion, but to lead out of sin? Do the vitals of Religion consist in sinning, or in not sinning? If it consist in sinning, then they that Sin most, are most religious: But if it consist in not sinning and keeping

keeping the commandements of God, without sin, then to plead for such a thing as attainable, hurteth not the vitals of Religion? What! Cannot the Saints live better without sin, then with it? Yea surely, they can live well without that, which is a burden, and as Death unto their life: they whose life is in sin, cannot live but in sin, but the *Saints* life is not in sin, but in *righteousnes*: And thy consequences are vaine and foolish, as 1. *That men need not pray for pardon of sin*, 2. *That they need not the Blood of Christ, to cleanse them from sin*, 3. *That they need not repentance*: For wee grant, that all have sinned, and so need those things, by which they may attaine unto perfection, and who witnesse perf^{ect}tion, are come to witnesse the true use of these things, and as the Blood of Christ cleanseth from all the sin, so is pre-serveeth cleane, and such have received the forgiveness of their sins, being turned from them unto righteousness, which is the fulfilling of repentance. And vvh^{ereas} thou sayest, *Bring me to the particular person, that is sinlesse, and I shall apply to him, that of the Apostle. 1. Ioh. 1. 8.* Thou shewest openly thy confusion, for by thy applying to him, that of the Apostle, vvoudest thou interre a sinlesse man to be a sinning man? That is a contradiction, but though vvee should bring a man to thee, that is made free from sin, by the power of God; Thou couldest no more judge of him, then a blind man can judge of colours, and as to 1. Ioh. 1. 8. it is a plaine case, *If wee say, wee have no sin, and have fellowship with him, and yet walk in darknesse*, as Verse 6. Then vvee deceive our selves, so it is conditional, otherwife it vould contradict vvh^{at} followes Verse 9. and Chap. 2. 4. and Chap. 3. 6. 9. As to that of the Sabbath, it is answered above.

Page 53. *Your Religion* (sayest thou) *will be welcome to the world, and wickedest of men, for you will please them exceedingly, in crying downe of ordinances, the observation of the Sabbath, and private and family Prayer, &c.* Ansv^r. Wee cry downe no Ordinance of God, but your hypocritical vwayes, and vvee know no worse men, then those Hypocrits, vvhom vvee are so far from pleasing, in crying downe their hypocritical Prayers, and performances, that they fret, and gnash at us vwith their teeth, and if they could gett th^{is} ir^{re}vwill, vwould tear us in pieces, for vvitnesing against those things. And they are very blind who see not, that the denying of those things in shadow, and bare formalitie, and establishing them in the power & substance, can no wayes be

be acceptable to the wicked, but most displeasing to *hypocrites*, who can performe the one, but not the other. But now, let us examine, whither your *Principles*, or ours, be most acceptable to the wicked, and *hypocrites*. 1. Wicked men, and Hypocrites love well to hear that they can never be free from their sins, in this life, and that they must alwayes sin. 2. They love well to hear, to be justified by *Christ without* them, and his righteousness without, but not by him, and his righteousness *within* them. 3. They love well to hear, that the words without them, are the only Rule, which they can wrest according to their owne corrupt inclinations, but they love not to hear, that the word, and *light of God within*, is to be their rule, which they cannot wrest, nor bend. 4. They love well, to hear, that they may use the fashions and customs of this world, bow, and cringe and give, and receive the honour of this world. they love to hear, they may use sports, and games, and playes. 6. To wear laces and ribbons, and gold rings, and other superfluity. 7. They love well to hear, that men must not expect to hear God immediatly, being such as those who said, let not God speake unto us. 8. They love well to hear, that water baptism, giving of bread and wine, are the ordinances of God, and the true Baptisme and Supper, for then they think they are Christians if they partake of these outward things, and they are mad against us, who call them shadowes: and as for their observation of that called the Sabbath, wee find, none more plead for it, then profane light men, and women, for they can easily dispence to hear a man talk for an hour, or two, and then have all the rest of the day, to spend in idlenes, vaine communication, and frequenting the *alehouse*, and decking themselves with vaine apparell. 10. They love well to hear, that they may be *members of the Church*, though they have no infallible evidence of holines. 11. They love to hear of your doctrine of *election & reprobation*. 12. And of your doctrine once in grace, & ever in grace, whereby they feed themselves in presumption, & carelesnes. Many other particulars could be mentioned, but these may serve enough to shew, that your *Principles* are pleasing to the wicked and *hypocrites*, and our displeasing. Next to come to experience: where are the *drunkards*, the *swearers*, the *whore mongers*, the *evillious licentious persons*, the *scorners*, the *mockers*, *whither* are they *yours*, or *ours*? If our *Principles* be so acceptable unto them, why doe they not inrolle themselves among us, why doe they oppose us at our meetings, at Aberdeene, and else where, and curse, and rant, and use all manner of filthy communication and are ready to stone us on the streets? And none more found so doing, then that young fry, and spawne of the *Priesthood*, who are bred at your nurseries of learning. Now whose *Church members* are those, yours, or ours? is not the *proverb*, verified of you; *Fowles of one Feather, fly together*.

Thou closest, with addressing thy selfe to God, with a notorious lye, saying, *follow with thy blessing, that which W^e have been about*. Meaning the *Quaker* and thy selfe, but it was none of the *Quakers* work; the Dialogue not being any real conference: is not this to deride, and take the name of God in vaine?